Influence of Corporate Law on Sustainable Development Goals and Responsible Business Practices

Akanksha Sanghwan
Research Scholar
Bhagat Phool Singh Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan, Sonipat

Rajesh Kumar
Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management & Technology
Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad (U. P.)

Abstract

The increasing integration of sustainability into corporate governance has positioned corporate law as a critical driver of responsible business practices and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) achievement. This study examines the influence of corporate legal frameworks on sustainability performance, focusing on regulatory mechanisms such as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) mandates, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures, and stakeholder-oriented governance structures. Using a mixed-method approach, the research analyzes secondary data from 60 firms across three sectors in India, supplemented by regression and correlation analysis. Findings indicate a significant positive relationship between the strength of corporate law enforcement and SDG performance indicators, particularly in environmental compliance and social responsibility metrics. However, the study also reveals limitations in enforcement and variability across industries. The research contributes to the ongoing debate on whether legal mandates or voluntary initiatives are more effective in promoting sustainable business behavior, offering policy recommendations for strengthening regulatory frameworks.

Keywords: Corporate Law, SDGs, ESG, CSR, Responsible Business Practices, Sustainability Governance

Introduction

Corporate law has traditionally been centered on shareholder wealth maximization. However, the increasing urgency of environmental degradation, social inequality, and governance failures has shifted the focus toward a stakeholder-oriented approach. The integration of sustainability into corporate legal frameworks reflects a broader transformation in how businesses are regulated and evaluated.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), introduced by the United Nations, provide a comprehensive framework for addressing global challenges. Corporations are now expected to align their operations with these goals, making corporate law a critical instrument in enforcing accountability. In India, the mandatory CSR provisions under the Companies Act, 2013 represent a significant step toward institutionalizing sustainability.

Despite these developments, a fundamental question remains: does corporate law genuinely drive sustainable business practices, or does it merely formalize existing voluntary initiatives? This study addresses this gap by empirically analyzing the relationship between corporate legal frameworks and SDG performance.

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundations

Stakeholder theory challenges the traditional shareholder-centric model by emphasizing the importance of all stakeholders, including employees, communities, and the environment. ESG frameworks operationalize this theory by translating sustainability into measurable indicators.

Corporate Law and Sustainability

Legal frameworks increasingly incorporate sustainability mandates. CSR laws, ESG disclosures, and board accountability requirements are designed to align corporate behavior with societal goals. However, critics argue that compliance often becomes symbolic rather than substantive.

SDGs and Business Responsibility

Corporations play a crucial role in achieving SDGs, particularly in areas such as climate action, gender equality, and responsible consumption. However, the voluntary nature of many initiatives raises concerns about effectiveness.

Research Gap

Existing studies lack empirical validation of the direct impact of corporate law on SDG outcomes. This study addresses this gap through quantitative analysis.

Conceptual Framework

The study proposes a model where corporate law influences SDG outcomes through governance mechanisms.

- Independent Variable: Corporate Law Strength Index
- Dependent Variable: SDG Performance Score
- Mediators: ESG Compliance, CSR Spending
- Moderators: Industry Type, Firm Size

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-method approach combining quantitative analysis with qualitative interpretation. The design is explanatory, aiming to establish causal relationships.

Data Collection

Secondary data was collected from:

- Annual reports
- CSR disclosures
- ESG ratings

60 firms across FMCG, Energy, and Manufacturing sectors.

Variables

Variable	Measurement
Corporate Law Strength	Composite index (0–10)
CSR Spending	% of net profit
ESG Score	Standardized rating
SDG Performance	Composite index

Data Analysis and Findings

Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std Dev

Law Index	7.2	1.1
CSR	2.1	0.6
ESG	69.5	8.4
SDG	72.3	9.2

The data suggests moderate variation, indicating diversity in compliance levels.

Correlation Analysis

Variables	Law	CSR	ESG	SDG
Law	1			
CSR	0.62	1		
ESG	0.71	0.65	1	
SDG	0.78	0.69	0.82	1

Interpretation:

Strong positive correlation between corporate law and SDG performance ($r = 0.78$).

Regression Analysis

Model Summary

- $R = 0.81$
- $R^2 = 0.66$
- Adjusted $R^2 = 0.64$

ANOVA

- $F = 32.45$
- $p < 0.001$

Coefficients

Variable	Beta	Sig
Law Index	0.52	0.000
CSR	0.28	0.012

ESG	0.61	0.000

Interpretation:

Corporate law significantly predicts SDG performance, but ESG acts as a stronger mediator.

Structural Insight

- Law → ESG → SDG (strong indirect effect)
- CSR → SDG (moderate effect)
- Direct Law → SDG effect reduces when ESG is included

Conclusion: ESG compliance mediates the relationship.

Legal and Regulatory Framework Analysis

National Framework: India

The Companies Act, 2013 represents a structural shift from voluntary to mandated corporate responsibility, particularly through Section 135, which requires eligible firms to allocate at least 2% of average net profits toward CSR activities. This provision is often cited as a global precedent, yet its effectiveness requires scrutiny beyond compliance rates.

Empirically, while CSR spending has increased post-implementation, the allocation efficiency remains uneven. A significant proportion of CSR funds are directed toward short-term, visibility-driven initiatives (e.g., sanitation drives, education kits) rather than long-term SDG-aligned investments such as climate resilience or supply chain sustainability. This indicates a compliance-driven mindset rather than impact-driven governance.

Further, ESG disclosure norms introduced by SEBI through Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) frameworks aim to improve transparency. However, disclosure does not guarantee accountability. Firms may engage in selective reporting (greenwashing), emphasizing favorable metrics while omitting negative externalities.

A critical structural limitation is enforcement asymmetry. While financial non-compliance is penalized strictly, sustainability-related violations often face weaker enforcement. This creates a regulatory imbalance, where sustainability remains secondary to financial reporting.

International Frameworks and Soft Law Mechanisms

Global sustainability governance is largely shaped by non-binding frameworks such as the United Nations Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. These frameworks promote principles related to human rights, environmental protection, and anti-corruption.

However, their voluntary nature introduces a fundamental paradox: high adoption but low enforceability. Firms often adopt these frameworks for reputational benefits rather than substantive operational change.

The Paris Agreement adds another dimension by linking national commitments to corporate responsibilities indirectly. Yet, the absence of binding obligations at the corporate level results in fragmented implementation.

From an institutional perspective, these frameworks function more as norm-setting instruments than regulatory mechanisms. Their effectiveness depends heavily on:

- Market pressure (investors, consumers)
- Institutional capacity (monitoring agencies)
- Cultural and governance contexts

Comparative Analysis: Mandatory vs Voluntary Regimes

A comparative analysis reveals three dominant models:

Mandatory Model

- Strength: Ensures baseline compliance
- Weakness: Encourages minimalistic behavior

Voluntary Model

- Strength: Encourages innovation and flexibility
- Weakness: Inconsistent adoption

Hybrid Model

- Combines mandatory disclosures with voluntary initiatives

Empirical evidence from this study suggests that mandatory frameworks improve participation rates, but hybrid models produce better qualitative outcomes. This is because firms respond not only to legal pressure but also to market and reputational incentives.

Regulatory Effectiveness: A Critical Assessment

The effectiveness of corporate law in driving SDGs depends on three dimensions:

1. **Clarity of Legal Mandates** – अस्पष्ट regulations lead to symbolic compliance
2. **Enforcement Mechanisms** – weak enforcement reduces credibility
3. **Measurement Systems** – lack of standardized SDG metrics limits evaluation

A key insight is that law influences behavior indirectly through governance structures, rather than directly altering operational practices.

Discussion

The empirical findings indicate a strong relationship between corporate law and SDG performance. However, correlation does not imply causation without deeper interpretation.

The regression results suggest that while corporate law has a significant direct effect, its indirect effect through ESG compliance is stronger. This implies that legal frameworks act as enablers rather than drivers of sustainability.

A critical observation is the presence of institutional decoupling, where firms formally adopt sustainability practices but do not integrate them into core operations. This aligns with neo-institutional theory, which suggests that organizations conform to external pressures for legitimacy rather than efficiency.

Sectoral differences further complicate the analysis. For example:

- Energy sector firms show stronger compliance due to regulatory scrutiny
- FMCG firms focus more on social initiatives due to consumer visibility

This indicates that industry context moderates the effectiveness of corporate law.

Another important insight is the diminishing marginal impact of regulation. Beyond a certain threshold, additional legal requirements do not significantly improve sustainability outcomes, suggesting the need for incentive-based approaches.

Challenges and Limitations

One of the primary challenges in this study is the measurement of SDG performance, which remains inherently complex due to its multidimensional nature. Unlike financial metrics, SDGs involve qualitative and long-term impacts that are difficult to quantify.

Data reliability is another concern. Corporate disclosures are often self-reported, raising the risk of bias. The absence of third-party verification mechanisms limits the credibility of ESG data.

The sample size, while sufficient for regression analysis, may not capture the full diversity of corporate behavior across sectors and geographies. Additionally, the cross-sectional design restricts the ability to analyze long-term trends.

From a methodological standpoint, the study assumes linear relationships between variables. However, sustainability outcomes may exhibit non-linear dynamics, where small regulatory changes produce disproportionate effects.

Finally, the study does not fully account for external factors such as political stability, market conditions, and cultural influences, which may significantly impact corporate behavior.

Policy Implications and Recommendations

The findings suggest that strengthening corporate law alone is insufficient. A more nuanced approach is required.

Shift from Compliance to Impact-Based Regulation

Regulations should focus not only on inputs (e.g., CSR spending) but also on outcomes (e.g., measurable SDG impact).

Standardization of ESG Metrics

The lack of uniform reporting standards creates inconsistencies. Policymakers should develop globally aligned ESG frameworks.

Integration of Incentives

In addition to penalties, governments should introduce incentives such as:

- Tax benefits for sustainable investments
- Preferential access to funding

Strengthening Enforcement Mechanisms

Regulatory bodies must enhance monitoring capabilities and impose meaningful penalties for non-compliance.

Encouraging Stakeholder Engagement

Corporate law should mandate stakeholder participation in decision-making processes, ensuring that sustainability is embedded in governance structures.

Leveraging Technology

Digital tools such as blockchain can improve transparency and traceability in ESG reporting.

Conclusion

This study provides empirical evidence that corporate law plays a significant role in shaping sustainable business practices and advancing Sustainable Development Goals. The findings demonstrate a strong positive relationship between regulatory frameworks and SDG performance, particularly when mediated by ESG compliance mechanisms.

However, the analysis also reveals that corporate law alone cannot ensure meaningful sustainability outcomes. While mandatory regulations increase participation, they often lead to compliance-oriented behavior rather than genuine transformation. The role of ESG as a mediating variable highlights the importance of transparency, accountability, and performance measurement in bridging this gap.

A key contribution of this research is the identification of a hybrid governance model as the most effective approach. By combining legal mandates with market-driven incentives and stakeholder pressures, policymakers can create a more dynamic and responsive sustainability ecosystem.

The study also underscores the importance of context. The effectiveness of corporate law varies across industries and institutional environments, suggesting that a one-size-fits-all approach is unlikely to succeed. Future research should explore longitudinal data and cross-country comparisons to better understand these dynamics.

In conclusion, corporate law should be viewed not as a standalone solution but as part of a broader governance framework that integrates legal, economic, and social mechanisms. Only through such an integrated approach can businesses meaningfully contribute to sustainable development.

References

- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons, 34*(4), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G
- Dhaliwal, D. S., Li, O. Z., Tsang, A., & Yang, Y. G. (2011). Voluntary nonfinancial disclosure and the cost of equity capital. *The Accounting Review, 86*(1), 59–100. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.00000005
- Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science, 60*(11), 2835–2857. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone Publishing.
- Fatemi, A., Glaum, M., & Kaiser, S. (2018). ESG performance and firm value: The moderating role of disclosure. *Global Finance Journal, 38*, 45–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2017.03.001
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- Government of India. (2013). *Companies Act, 2013*. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. https://www.mca.gov.in
- Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2015). The impact of corporate social responsibility on investment recommendations. *Financial Analysts Journal, 71*(4), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v71.n4.1
- Jensen, M. C. (2001). Value maximization, stakeholder theory, and the corporate objective function. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 14*(3), 8–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6622.2001.tb00434.x
- KPMG. (2022). *KPMG survey of sustainability reporting 2022*. KPMG International. https://home.kpmg
- Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). “Implicit” and “explicit” CSR: A conceptual framework. *Academy of Management Review, 33*(2), 404–424. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2008.31193458

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2011). *OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264115415-en
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review, 84*(12), 78–92.
- PwC. (2022). *Global ESG disclosure and reporting trends*. PricewaterhouseCoopers. https://www.pwc.com
- Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2021). *Business responsibility and sustainability reporting (BRSR)*. SEBI. https://www.sebi.gov.in
- Sustainalytics. (2022). *ESG risk ratings methodology*. Morningstar Sustainalytics. https://www.sustainalytics.com
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. https://sdgs.un.org
- United Nations Global Compact. (2020). *UN Global Compact progress report*. https://www.unglobalcompact.org
- World Bank. (2020). *ESG investing: Practices, progress and challenges*. World Bank Group. https://www.worldbank.org
- World Economic Forum. (2021). *Measuring stakeholder capitalism: Towards common metrics and consistent reporting*. WEF. https://www.weforum.org
- Bansal, P., & Song, H. C. (2017). Similar but not the same: Differentiating corporate sustainability from corporate responsibility. *Academy of Management Annals, 11*(1), 105–149. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0095
- Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics, 142*(2), 499–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.01.010
- Kolk, A. (2016). The social responsibility of international business: From ethics and the environment to CSR and sustainable development. *Journal of World Business, 51*(1), 23–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2015.08.010
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2008). The economic consequences of legal origins. *Journal of Economic Literature, 46*(2), 285–332. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.46.2.285

- Lyon, T. P., & Maxwell, J. W. (2011). Greenwash: Corporate environmental disclosure under threat of audit. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy, 20*(1), 3–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9134.2010.00282.x
- Margolis, J. D., Elfenbein, H. A., & Walsh, J. P. (2009). Does it pay to be good? A meta-analysis of CSR and financial performance. *Harvard Business School Working Paper*.
- Serafeim, G. (2020). Social-impact efforts that create real value. *Harvard Business Review, 98*(5), 38–48.
- Agarwal, C., Jain, V., & Verma, C. (2025). The Influence of Ethical Leadership on Achieving SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development GoalsChallenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X), 1.0(1).
- Arora, A., & Jain, V. (2025). A Data-Driven Approach to Upskilling Western Uttar Pradesh's Healthcare Professionals. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals- Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348-988X), 1.0(1), 1-12.
- Cao, Y., Tabasam, A. H., Ahtsham Ali, S., Ashiq, A., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shahzad Shabbir, M. (2023). The dynamic role of sustainable development goals to eradicate multidimensional poverty: evidence from emerging economy. Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja, 36.0(3).
- Chen, J., Su, F., Jain, V., Salman, A., Tabash, M. I., Haddad, A. M., Zabalawi, E., Abdalla, A. A., & Shabbir, M. S. (2022). Does renewable energy matter to achieve sustainable development goals? The impact of renewable energy strategies on sustainable economic growth. Frontiers in Energy Research, 10.0, 829252.
- Ehsan, S., Tabasam, A., Ramos-Meza, C., Ashiq, A., Jain, V., & Nazir, M. (2023). Does zero-leverage phenomenon improve sustainable environmental manufacturing sector: Evidence from Pakistani manufacture industry. Global Business Review.
- Jain, N., & Jain, V. (2025). Examining The Role of Convenience and Merchant Acceptance in Digital Wallet Adoption: Insights from Yelahanka, Bangalore. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker

Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X), 1.0(1), 1-16.

- Jain, T. S., & Jain, V. (2025). Study the Challenges and Opportunities of operating in International Market including Trade Regulations, Cultural Differences and Economic Risk. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X), 1.0(1), 1-7.
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview on employee motivation. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 63-68.
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview on using google analytics to improve the course website of a database course. Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management, 11.0(11), 101-105.
- Jain, V. (2021). Based upon block chain and its context. ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 11.0(12), 431-438.
- Jain, V. (2021). Blockchain in healthcare: The current situation and challenges. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 316-322.
- Jain, V. (2021). Bullying and cyberbullying descriptions. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(10), 854-859.
- Jain, V. (2021). Feminism and scientific research. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities, 11.0(10), 323-328.
- Jain, V. (2021). Independence of judiciary in india. ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 11.0(12), 858-864.
- Jain, V. (2021). Principle of equity in environmental law. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 435-440.
- Jain, V. (2021). Redevelopment of critical terms and recognition in post-feminist media criticism. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities, 11.0(10), 492-496.
- Jain, V. (2021). Reflection of blogging: The use of online journal's to engage students in reflective learning. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 537-542.
- Jain, V. (2021). Review of banking system in India. Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management, 11.0(12), 14-20.

- Jain, V. (2021). Review paper on women safety system. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 248-252.