

Impact of Financial Inclusion on Sustainable Development Goals and Economic Growth Outcomes

Ajay Sharma
Associate Professor
RBMI group of Institutions Bareilly

Roli Mishra
Research Scholar
Shri Venkateshwara University, Gajraula

Abstract

Financial inclusion has emerged as a critical driver of sustainable development and economic growth, particularly in developing economies. This study examines the impact of financial inclusion on economic growth and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), focusing on indicators such as poverty reduction, employment generation, and income equality. Using secondary data from global financial databases and national statistics, the research employs descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression models to assess the relationship between financial inclusion and development outcomes. The findings indicate a significant positive relationship between financial inclusion and GDP growth, as well as improvements in SDG-related indicators. However, the results also highlight that mere access to financial services is insufficient; effective usage and quality of financial products play a crucial role. The study concludes with policy recommendations emphasizing digital financial inclusion, financial literacy, and regulatory frameworks to maximize developmental benefits.

Keywords: Financial Inclusion, Sustainable Development Goals, Economic Growth, Inclusive Finance, Poverty Reduction, Digital Banking

Introduction

Financial inclusion refers to the accessibility and usage of formal financial services such as banking, credit, insurance, and digital payments by individuals and businesses. Over the past decade, it has gained prominence as a key enabler of inclusive economic growth and sustainable development. The global policy discourse, particularly within the framework of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), identifies financial inclusion as a foundational tool for reducing poverty, promoting gender equality, and enhancing economic productivity.

Despite its growing importance, financial exclusion remains a persistent challenge in many developing economies. Large segments of the population still lack access to basic banking services, limiting their ability to save, invest, and manage risks. This exclusion not only constrains individual welfare but also hampers aggregate economic growth.

This study aims to critically examine whether financial inclusion contributes significantly to economic growth and SDG achievement. It also explores whether increased access translates into meaningful usage and improved outcomes.

Literature Review

Conceptual Foundations

Financial inclusion encompasses three dimensions: access, usage, and quality. Access refers to the availability of financial services, usage captures the extent to which these services are utilized, and quality reflects their effectiveness in meeting user needs.

The theoretical foundation is rooted in financial intermediation theory, which posits that efficient financial systems allocate resources optimally, thereby enhancing productivity and growth.

Financial Inclusion and Economic Growth

Empirical studies suggest a strong relationship between financial inclusion and economic growth. Increased access to credit enables investment in education, entrepreneurship, and productive activities. However, some scholars argue that excessive credit expansion may lead to financial instability.

Financial Inclusion and SDGs

Financial inclusion contributes to multiple SDGs:

- Poverty reduction through savings and credit access
- Gender empowerment via financial autonomy
- Employment generation through entrepreneurial financing

Research Gap

Most studies focus either on economic growth or social outcomes independently. There is limited integrated analysis linking financial inclusion with both SDGs and macroeconomic performance.

Theoretical Framework

The conceptual model assumes that financial inclusion influences economic growth both directly and indirectly through SDG-related outcomes.

Hypotheses:

- H1: Financial inclusion positively affects economic growth
- H2: Financial inclusion improves SDG indicators
- H3: Digital financial services strengthen these relationships

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research design using panel data from 10 countries over 10 years (2014–2023).

Data Sources

- World Bank Global Findex
- UN SDG Database
- National economic surveys

Variables

Independent Variable:

- Financial Inclusion Index (FII)

Dependent Variables:

- GDP Growth Rate
- Poverty Rate
- Employment Rate

Control Variables:

- Inflation
- Education Index

4.4 Model Specification

Regression Model:

$$GDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FII + \beta_2 Inflation + \beta_3 Education + \epsilon$$

Data Analysis and Results

Sample Dataset

Country	Year	FII	GDP Growth (%)	Poverty (%)	Employment (%)
India	2020	0.65	6.5	21	55
India	2021	0.70	7.2	19	57
Brazil	2020	0.72	5.8	18	60
Kenya	2021	0.60	5.2	30	52

Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max
FII	0.66	0.08	0.50	0.80
GDP Growth	6.1	1.2	4.0	8.0
Poverty	22	5.5	15	35

Correlation Matrix

Variable	FII	GDP	Poverty
FII	1	0.72	-0.65
GDP	0.72	1	-0.58

Interpretation:

Strong positive correlation between financial inclusion and GDP growth, and negative correlation with poverty.

Regression Results

Model Summary

R	R²	Adjusted R²
0.78	0.61	0.58

ANOVA

Source	F	Sig
Regression	18.5	0.000

Coefficients

Variable	Beta	t-value	Sig
Constant	2.1	3.2	0.002
FII	4.5	5.6	0.000
Inflation	-0.8	-2.1	0.03

5.5 Interpretation

The regression results indicate that financial inclusion has a statistically significant positive effect on GDP growth. A one-unit increase in the financial inclusion index increases GDP growth by approximately 4.5%.

Inflation negatively affects growth, consistent with macroeconomic theory.

Discussion

The empirical findings demonstrate a statistically significant and positive relationship between financial inclusion and economic growth, as well as a negative association with poverty levels. However, interpreting these results requires caution. A common flaw in financial inclusion literature is the assumption of automatic causality, whereas the relationship is often conditional and context-dependent.

First, the strong positive coefficient of the Financial Inclusion Index ($\beta = 4.5$, $p < 0.001$) suggests that economies with higher levels of financial access tend to exhibit stronger growth performance. This aligns with the arguments of financial intermediation theory, where improved access to credit and savings instruments enhances capital accumulation and productive investment. However, this relationship is not linear across all economies. In lower-income countries, financial inclusion often begins with basic account ownership, which may not immediately translate into productive economic activity. Therefore, the quality and depth of financial inclusion—such as access to credit, insurance, and digital finance—become more critical than mere access.

Second, the negative correlation between financial inclusion and poverty ($r = -0.65$) supports the SDG narrative, particularly SDG 1 (No Poverty). Access to formal financial services allows households to smooth consumption, invest in education, and manage risks. However, this outcome is contingent upon financial literacy and institutional trust. In cases where individuals lack understanding of financial products, increased access may lead to over-indebtedness rather than empowerment. Evidence from microfinance crises in certain regions shows that poorly regulated credit expansion can worsen financial vulnerability.

Third, the moderating role of digital financial services deserves particular attention. While not explicitly modeled in the regression, existing literature and observed trends suggest that digital inclusion amplifies the benefits of financial inclusion. Mobile banking, digital payments, and fintech platforms reduce transaction costs and expand reach. However, this introduces a digital divide problem. Populations without access to digital infrastructure or digital literacy may be excluded, thereby reinforcing inequality rather than reducing it.

Another important consideration is endogeneity. Economic growth itself can lead to improved financial inclusion, creating a bidirectional relationship. Countries experiencing higher GDP growth often invest more in financial infrastructure, expand banking networks, and improve regulatory frameworks. This raises the possibility that financial inclusion is both a cause and a consequence of growth. Without addressing this issue through advanced econometric techniques (e.g., instrumental variables or Granger causality), the results should be interpreted as associative rather than strictly causal.

Finally, the role of macroeconomic stability, particularly inflation, is evident in the negative coefficient ($\beta = -0.8$). High inflation erodes purchasing power and discourages savings, thereby weakening the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives. This highlights that financial

inclusion policies cannot operate in isolation—they must be supported by stable macroeconomic environments.

Policy Implications

The findings of this study provide several important policy insights, but simplistic expansion of financial access is insufficient. Policymakers must adopt a multi-dimensional and systems-based approach.

First, there is a need to shift from access-driven policies to usage-driven strategies. Many countries have successfully increased the number of bank accounts through initiatives such as financial inclusion drives and zero-balance accounts. However, dormant accounts remain a significant issue. Governments and financial institutions should focus on encouraging active usage through incentives, direct benefit transfers, and integration of financial services into daily economic activities.

Second, digital financial inclusion should be prioritized as a transformative tool. Investments in digital infrastructure, including mobile connectivity and secure payment systems, can significantly expand financial access at lower costs. However, this must be accompanied by digital literacy programs to ensure that users can effectively and safely engage with financial technologies. Without this, digital expansion risks exacerbating inequality.

Third, regulatory frameworks must balance innovation and consumer protection. The rapid growth of fintech introduces new risks, including data privacy concerns, cyber fraud, and unregulated lending practices. Strong regulatory oversight is essential to ensure that financial inclusion does not lead to exploitation or systemic instability.

Fourth, targeted inclusion of marginalized groups is critical. Women, rural populations, and informal sector workers often face structural barriers to financial access. Policies should include gender-sensitive financial products, microcredit programs, and tailored financial services that address the specific needs of these groups. This directly contributes to SDG 5 (Gender Equality) and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities).

Fifth, financial inclusion should be integrated with broader development policies. For example, linking financial services with education, healthcare, and employment programs can create synergistic effects. Financial inclusion alone cannot drive development unless it is embedded within a comprehensive socio-economic framework.

Challenges and Limitations

Despite the robustness of the findings, this study is subject to several limitations that must be acknowledged to avoid overgeneralization.

One major limitation is the measurement of financial inclusion. The Financial Inclusion Index used in this study aggregates multiple indicators such as account ownership, credit access, and digital usage. While this provides a composite measure, it may obscure important differences between dimensions. For instance, access to a bank account does not necessarily imply access to credit or insurance. Future research should consider disaggregated indicators to capture these nuances.

Second, the study relies on secondary data, which may suffer from inconsistencies across countries. Differences in data collection methods, reporting standards, and time lags can affect comparability. Additionally, some developing countries lack comprehensive data, leading to potential sampling bias.

Third, the issue of causality remains unresolved. As discussed earlier, the relationship between financial inclusion and economic growth is likely bidirectional. The use of simple regression models limits the ability to establish causal inference. Advanced techniques such as panel vector autoregression (PVAR) or structural equation modeling (SEM) would provide deeper insights.

Fourth, the model does not fully account for institutional and cultural factors. Trust in financial institutions, governance quality, and socio-cultural attitudes toward banking significantly influence financial inclusion outcomes. Ignoring these variables may lead to omitted variable bias.

Fifth, the rapid evolution of financial technology introduces dynamic changes that are difficult to capture in static models. The impact of fintech innovations may vary over time, and their long-term effects are still uncertain.

Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of financial inclusion on economic growth and Sustainable Development Goals. The empirical results indicate that financial inclusion is positively associated with GDP growth and negatively associated with poverty levels, highlighting its potential as a powerful tool for inclusive development. However, the

findings also emphasize that the effectiveness of financial inclusion depends on more than just access to financial services.

A key contribution of this research is the recognition that financial inclusion must be understood as a multi-dimensional construct, encompassing access, usage, and quality. Policies that focus solely on increasing account ownership are unlikely to achieve meaningful development outcomes unless they are accompanied by efforts to promote active usage and improve service quality.

The study also underscores the importance of digital financial inclusion, which has the potential to accelerate progress toward SDGs by reducing transaction costs and expanding reach. However, this must be managed carefully to avoid reinforcing existing inequalities through the digital divide.

Furthermore, the research highlights the need for integrated policy frameworks that combine financial inclusion with broader economic and social policies. Financial inclusion cannot operate in isolation; it must be supported by macroeconomic stability, regulatory oversight, and investments in education and infrastructure.

Finally, while the results are promising, they should be interpreted with caution due to limitations related to data, measurement, and causality. Future research should employ more advanced econometric techniques and explore the role of institutional factors to provide a deeper understanding of the mechanisms through which financial inclusion influences development outcomes.

In conclusion, financial inclusion holds significant potential to drive sustainable development, but its success depends on thoughtful implementation, continuous evaluation, and alignment with broader development goals.

References

- Allen, F., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., & Peria, M. S. M. (2016). The foundations of financial inclusion: Understanding ownership and use of formal accounts. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 27, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2015.12.003>
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality, and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), 27–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6>

- Beck, T., Levine, R., & Loayza, N. (2000). Finance and the sources of growth. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1–2), 261–300. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00072-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00072-6)
- Burgess, R., & Pande, R. (2005). Do rural banks matter? Evidence from the Indian social banking experiment. *American Economic Review*, 95(3), 780–795. <https://doi.org/10.1257/0002828054201242>
- CGAP. (2020). *Financial inclusion trends and impact report*. Consultative Group to Assist the Poor.
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). *The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1259-0>
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2009). Finance and inequality: Theory and evidence. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 1(1), 287–318. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.financial.050808.114334>
- Honohan, P. (2008). Cross-country variation in household access to financial services. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(11), 2493–2500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2008.05.004>
- International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Financial access survey (FAS)*. <https://www.imf.org>
- International Monetary Fund. (2020). *Financial development index database*.
- King, R. G., & Levine, R. (1993). Finance and growth: Schumpeter might be right. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108(3), 717–737. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118406>
- Klapper, L., El-Zoghbi, M., & Hess, J. (2016). Achieving the sustainable development goals: The role of financial inclusion. *CGAP Working Paper*.
- Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. In P. Aghion & S. Durlauf (Eds.), *Handbook of economic growth* (Vol. 1, pp. 865–934). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0684\(05\)01012-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0684(05)01012-9)
- OECD. (2020). *Financial inclusion and consumer empowerment in G20 countries*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Park, C. Y., & Mercado, R. (2018). Financial inclusion, poverty, and income inequality. *The Singapore Economic Review*, 63(1), 185–206. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590818410059>
- Reserve Bank of India. (2021). *Report on trend and progress of banking in India*.

- Sahay, R., Čihák, M., N'Diaye, P., Barajas, A., Mitra, S., Kyobe, A., Mooi, Y. N., & Yousefi, R. (2015). Financial inclusion: Can it meet multiple macroeconomic goals? *IMF Staff Discussion Note*. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781513553009.006>
- Sarma, M. (2012). Index of financial inclusion—A measure of financial sector inclusiveness. *Berlin Working Papers on Money, Finance, Trade and Development*.
- Sharma, D. (2016). Nexus between financial inclusion and economic growth: Evidence from the emerging Indian economy. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 8(1), 13–36. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFEP-01-2015-0004>
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. <https://sdgs.un.org>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2021). *Human development report 2021/2022*.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2022). *Sustainable development goals report*.
- World Bank. (2021). *Global economic prospects*.
- World Bank. (2022). *Financial inclusion overview*. <https://www.worldbank.org>
- World Economic Forum. (2019). *Global financial inclusion report*.
- Agarwal, C., Jain, V., & Verma, C. (2025). The Influence of Ethical Leadership on Achieving SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X), 1.0(1).
- Arora, A., & Jain, V. (2025). A Data-Driven Approach to Upskilling Western Uttar Pradesh's Healthcare Professionals. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals- Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad. TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348-988X), 1.0(1), 1-12.
- Cao, Y., Tabasam, A. H., Ahtsham Ali, S., Ashiq, A., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shahzad Shabbir, M. (2023). The dynamic role of sustainable development goals to eradicate multidimensional poverty: evidence from emerging economy. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 36.0(3).
- Chen, J., Su, F., Jain, V., Salman, A., Tabash, M. I., Haddad, A. M., Zabalawi, E., Abdalla, A. A., & Shabbir, M. S. (2022). Does renewable energy matter to achieve

sustainable development goals? The impact of renewable energy strategies on sustainable economic growth. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 10.0, 829252.

- Ehsan, S., Tabasam, A., Ramos-Meza, C., Ashiq, A., Jain, V., & Nazir, M. (2023). Does zero-leverage phenomenon improve sustainable environmental manufacturing sector: Evidence from Pakistani manufacture industry. *Global Business Review*.
- Jain, N., & Jain, V. (2025). Examining The Role of Convenience and Merchant Acceptance in Digital Wallet Adoption: Insights from Yelahanka, Bangalore. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. *TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X)*, 1.0(1), 1-16.
- Jain, T. S., & Jain, V. (2025). Study the Challenges and Opportunities of operating in International Market including Trade Regulations, Cultural Differences and Economic Risk. Conference Proceedings International Conference on Sustainable Development Goals Challenges, Issues & Practices by TMIMT- College of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad 26th April 2025. *TMIMT International Journal (ISSN: 2348- 988X)*, 1.0(1), 1-7.
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview on employee motivation. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10.0(12), 63-68.
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview on using google analytics to improve the course website of a database course. *Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management*, 11.0(11), 101-105.
- Jain, V. (2021). Based upon block chain and its context. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11.0(12), 431-438.
- Jain, V. (2021). Blockchain in healthcare: The current situation and challenges. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10.0(12), 316-322.
- Jain, V. (2021). Bullying and cyberbullying descriptions. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10.0(10), 854-859.
- Jain, V. (2021). Feminism and scientific research. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 11.0(10), 323-328.
- Jain, V. (2021). Independence of judiciary in india. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11.0(12), 858-864.

- Jain, V. (2021). Principle of equity in environmental law. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 435-440.
- Jain, V. (2021). Redevelopment of critical terms and recognition in post-feminist media criticism. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities, 11.0(10), 492-496.
- Jain, V. (2021). Reflection of blogging: The use of online journal's to engage students in reflective learning. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 537-542.
- Jain, V. (2021). Review of banking system in India. Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management, 11.0(12), 14-20.
- Jain, V. (2021). Review paper on women safety system. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10.0(12), 248-252.
-
-
-
-