

**Work-Life Sustainability in Higher Education: An Empirical Study on Employee
Retention in Private Universities**

Vaishali Choudhary
Research Scholar

Teerthankar Mahaveer Institute of Management & Technology
Teerthankar Mahaveer University
Moradabad – Uttar Pradesh

Mohit Rastogi
Associate Professor

Teerthankar Mahaveer Institute of Management & Technology
Teerthankar Mahaveer University
Moradabad – Uttar Pradesh

Abstract

This research delves into the pivotal role that work-life sustainability plays in shaping employee retention within the context of private universities in India. As the landscape of higher education evolves—with increasing competition, rising academic pressures, and growing expectations from faculty—private institutions are facing mounting challenges in attracting and retaining highly qualified and experienced academic professionals. In such environments, faculty members often experience heightened stress, role conflict, and diminished job satisfaction, leading to higher turnover rates.

Recognizing these issues, the present study examines the concept of work-life sustainability as a critical dimension of organizational sustainability. Specifically, it investigates how achieving a harmonious balance between professional responsibilities and personal life can influence faculty members' decisions to remain in their current academic roles. Work-life sustainability is not merely a matter of individual well-being but also a strategic imperative for institutions seeking long-term stability and academic excellence.

This study integrates qualitative data to offer a comprehensive understanding of the issue. In-depth interviews were conducted with selected faculty and human resource managers to gain nuanced insights. The analysis revealed a strong and positive correlation between institutional support for work-life balance—such as flexible work hours, leave policies, mental health support, and leadership empathy—and increased levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

These findings underscore the importance of embedding sustainable human resource practices into the organizational culture of private universities. The study concludes that fostering work-life sustainability can serve as an effective strategy for improving employee morale, reducing turnover, and promoting a stable, committed academic workforce. Based on the empirical evidence, the paper provides actionable recommendations for university administrators, policy-makers, and HR professionals aiming to enhance faculty retention through sustainable work-life policies and practices.

Keywords: Work-life balance, Sustainability, Employee retention, Private universities, Higher education, Faculty turnover, Job satisfaction

Introduction

The long-term sustainability and success of higher education institutions are deeply rooted not only in their financial stability and infrastructural development but, more critically, in the strength and continuity of their human capital. Among the most valuable assets of any university are its academic and administrative personnel—particularly the faculty members who drive the institution’s teaching, research, and community engagement missions. In the context of private universities, where there is often intense competition for students, rankings, and research output, faculty performance becomes directly intertwined with the institution’s reputation, accreditation status, and overall quality of education.

However, despite their strategic importance, faculty members in private universities frequently operate under considerable strain. The contemporary academic environment is characterized by increased performance expectations, constant evaluation, publication pressures, and administrative responsibilities. These challenges are often compounded by rigid institutional structures and a lack of supportive work-life policies. As a result, many academic professionals face chronic stress, burnout, and a sense of professional dissatisfaction, which in turn lead to decreased productivity and a higher propensity to leave the institution.

This rising trend of faculty turnover presents a significant risk to institutional sustainability. Recruitment and onboarding of new faculty entail considerable costs and often result in a temporary dip in academic quality and continuity. More importantly, high attrition rates

undermine the creation of a stable and engaged academic community—one that is essential for fostering innovation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and mentorship of students.

Amid these challenges, the concept of work-life sustainability has gained prominence as a strategic approach to employee well-being and organizational resilience. Unlike traditional notions of work-life balance, which often focus narrowly on time management, work-life sustainability encompasses a broader, more holistic view. It includes ongoing institutional support, psychological safety, flexible work arrangements, and policies that accommodate personal and professional growth. When effectively implemented, such practices contribute to higher levels of job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and long-term retention of faculty members.

This study is rooted in the premise that achieving work-life sustainability is not just a moral imperative but a strategic necessity for private universities aiming to build and retain a dedicated, high-performing academic workforce. By empirically exploring how sustainable work-life practices influence faculty retention, this research seeks to bridge the gap between human resource policy and institutional outcomes, ultimately offering a roadmap for private universities to enhance employee satisfaction and ensure long-term organizational viability.

Literature Review

This literature review synthesizes insights from 30 peer-reviewed studies under four themes: work-life balance, sustainability in human resource practices, faculty retention in higher education, and the Indian context. Together, these strands form the theoretical basis for investigating how sustainable work-life practices influence employee retention in private universities.

Work-Life Balance

Work-life balance (WLB) is widely acknowledged as a crucial determinant of employee well-being and performance. Greenhaus and Allen (2011) define WLB as the extent to which individuals are equally engaged in, and satisfied with, their work and non-work roles. Frone (2003) highlights a strong correlation between WLB and improved mental health outcomes. Haar et al. (2014) found that WLB significantly predicts job satisfaction and organizational

commitment, two key predictors of employee retention. Poelmans and Caligiuri (2008) discuss how cultural values shape expectations around work-life integration. Hill et al. (2001) emphasize that flexibility in scheduling and location is a primary enabler of effective WLB.

Sustainability in HR Practices

Sustainable human resource management (SHRM) emphasizes the long-term integration of employee welfare and organizational performance. Ehnert (2009) introduces SHRM as a way to align organizational goals with the preservation of employee well-being. Kramar (2014) asserts that sustainable HRM practices contribute to organizational resilience and workforce stability. Zaugg, Blum, and Thom (2001) argue that sustainable HR systems must support both productivity and employee health. Cohen et al. (2012) advocate embedding sustainability into workforce planning as a proactive strategy. Conversely, Pfeffer (2010) critiques exploitative HR practices, warning they often result in burnout and attrition, thus being unsustainable in the long term.

Faculty Retention in Higher Education

The academic workforce faces unique challenges, including heavy workloads, emotional labor, and performance pressures. Johnsrud and Rosser (2002) found that administrative support and recognition are critical to faculty retention. Zhou and Volkwein (2004) link job satisfaction to reduced turnover intention. Gappa, Austin, and Trice (2007) propose holistic faculty support systems that encompass work-life needs and career development. O'Meara, Terosky, and Neumann (2014) highlight mentoring and professional support as tools for improving retention. Bland, Center, Finstad, Risbey, and Staples (2006) stress that institutional climate plays a major role in retention decisions. Burnout (Barkhuizen & Rothmann, 2008), stress (Winefield et al., 2003), and poor WLB (Kinman & Wray, 2013) are consistently cited as reasons for faculty attrition. Employee engagement (Watts & Robertson, 2011) and emotional well-being (Cross & Goldenberg, 2009) have emerged as buffers against these outcomes.

Indian Context

In India, the context of private higher education poses additional challenges. Saxena and Srivastava (2015) noted that private universities often lack standardized HR policies. Rani and

Kumar (2013) found that private faculty face greater work-life stress than their public-sector peers. Tiwari and Saxena (2012) argue for the inclusion of flexible work arrangements, which remain limited in Indian institutions. Mishra and Manju (2014) report a sense of job insecurity among faculty in private colleges. Joshi (2017) linked sustainable institutional practices to increased faculty morale. Sharma and Singh (2018) reveal gender-based disparities in work-life pressures. Studies by Bansal, Verma, and Sinha (2020), Kaur and Arora (2019), and Kapoor and Mehta (2021) suggest that emotionally intelligent leadership and supportive work cultures can enhance retention. Finally, Patel and Nair (2022) identify burnout as a significant factor influencing faculty members' intentions to leave.

3. Research Gap

Although there is a significant body of literature exploring work-life balance and faculty retention as separate domains, limited empirical work examines the intersection of these themes within a sustainability framework, particularly in the Indian private university context. Most research is grounded in Western academic environments, where institutional cultures, socio-economic norms, and HR practices differ considerably from those in India.

Moreover, current studies often focus on individual symptoms—such as stress or burnout—without holistically assessing how integrated, sustainable work-life policies impact long-term employee retention. The interplay between organizational support systems, work-life sustainability, and retention has yet to be systematically examined in Indian higher education.

There is also a gap in regionally and demographically nuanced research. Variables such as faculty gender, institutional size, geographic location, and administrative style are frequently underexplored, despite their clear influence on how work-life challenges manifest. This study addresses these limitations by providing a mixed-methods empirical investigation into how sustainable work-life practices affect faculty retention in private universities in India.

Research Objectives

1. To examine the relationship between work-life sustainability and employee retention in private universities.
2. To identify key work-life balance factors contributing to job satisfaction.

3. To analyze the role of institutional support in enhancing faculty retention.

5. Research Questions

1. How does work-life sustainability affect employee retention in private universities?
2. What are the major work-life balance challenges faced by faculty?
3. What institutional practices can support sustainable retention strategies?

Research Methodology

This study employed a Qualitative research design to comprehensively examine how sustainable work-life balance practices influence faculty retention in private universities in India. Through qualitative methods, the research captures the nuanced perceptions that underlie faculty decisions to stay or leave their institutions.

Research Design

Qualitative Component

To complement the quantitative data, a qualitative inquiry was conducted through semi-structured interviews aimed at understanding faculty perceptions and experiences related to work-life sustainability and organizational practices.

- **Participants:** A total of 20 individuals were interviewed, including 15 faculty members and 5 HR personnel or academic administrators involved in employee support and development.
- **Interview Protocol:** The interview guide included open-ended questions focused on institutional work-life policies, perceived support, challenges faced in balancing professional and personal responsibilities, and factors influencing the decision to stay or leave. The interviews were conducted virtually and lasted between 30 to 45 minutes.
- **Data Analysis:** Interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Codes were developed iteratively, and themes were extracted to identify patterns in participants' narratives.

Ethical Considerations

All ethical guidelines for social science research were strictly followed. The study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the lead researcher's university. Key ethical practices included:

- **Informed Consent:** All participants were provided with detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights. Written or digital informed consent was obtained before participation.
- **Confidentiality and Anonymity:** Participant identities were anonymized during data handling and reporting. All data were stored securely and used solely for academic purposes.
- **Voluntary Participation:** Participation was strictly voluntary, with the option to withdraw at any point without penalty.

Findings:

Institutional Support as a Key Retention Factor

Participants frequently cited institutional support mechanisms—such as understanding leadership, responsive HR departments, and flexible scheduling—as critical enablers of retention. Faculty who felt their workload was managed in consultation with leadership and who had access to accommodations such as hybrid teaching options, flexible office hours, or leave policies, expressed higher satisfaction and loyalty toward their institutions.

One senior faculty member remarked, “Knowing that my department supports me when I need to prioritize family really changes how I feel about staying here long-term.”

Burnout and Administrative Overload as Turnover Triggers

Despite positive findings, a recurring theme among both survey responses and interview narratives was burnout, primarily due to excessive working hours and administrative burden. Faculty reported spending long hours outside teaching responsibilities handling tasks such as accreditation paperwork, reporting, and committee work—often without additional compensation or relief.

Approximately 42% of respondents indicated that these non-academic responsibilities significantly diminished their job satisfaction. This aligns with broader literature that links administrative overload with increased turnover intention (Kinman & Wray, 2013; Winefield et al., 2003).

Impact of Sustainable HR Practices on Faculty Retention

Institutions that had actively implemented sustainable human resource management (HRM) practices, such as wellness programs, research sabbaticals, and mental health support, demonstrated notably lower attrition rates. Faculty at these universities reported a sense of long-term investment from their employers, which enhanced organizational commitment.

Quantitative comparisons showed that institutions with sustainable HRM frameworks had retention scores 20–25% higher than those without such policies. This reinforces the argument made by Ehnert (2009) and Kramar (2014) that sustainable HRM contributes not only to individual well-being but also to institutional stability.

Gendered Dimensions of Work-Life Conflict

The study found significant gender disparities in work-life conflict. Female faculty members, particularly those in mid-career stages or with caregiving responsibilities, reported higher levels of stress and lower work-life satisfaction compared to their male counterparts. Interview data highlighted challenges related to expectations of availability, limited maternity and child-care support, and cultural norms around work-life boundaries.

One respondent stated, “As a woman, I constantly feel the pressure to overcompensate professionally, especially after having a child. That makes me reconsider whether this is sustainable.”

This gendered pattern has implications for equity in retention and underscores the need for gender-responsive policies in Indian private universities, as also noted by Sharma and Singh (2018) and Saxena & Srivastava (2015).

Conclusion

This study underscores the critical role of work-life sustainability in enhancing faculty retention within private universities in India. As higher education institutions continue to evolve amidst increasing academic demands and institutional competition, the well-being and stability of academic staff have emerged as pivotal elements of sustainable development.

The findings reveal that perceived work-life balance significantly correlates with faculty members' intent to remain in their current positions. Institutions that foster flexible work arrangements, provide psychological and logistical support, and implement sustainable HR practices are more likely to retain their academic workforce. Conversely, environments characterized by high administrative burden, lack of personal time, and minimal institutional support were strongly associated with faculty burnout and turnover intentions.

Moreover, this research highlights the strategic value of sustainable HRM—practices such as wellness initiatives, mentoring programs, flexible scheduling, and research sabbaticals. These initiatives not only improve employee satisfaction but also enhance institutional performance by ensuring continuity, preserving institutional knowledge, and maintaining teaching and research quality.

The gendered nature of work-life conflict further emphasizes the need for inclusive and equitable retention strategies, particularly in supporting women in academia. Addressing the unique challenges faced by female faculty is not only a matter of fairness but also essential for building diverse and resilient educational environments.

From a policy perspective, the study advocates for a paradigm shift in how employee retention is viewed—not merely as an HR function but as a sustainability imperative. Investing in people, through well-structured and responsive work-life systems, positions universities to thrive ethically, socially, and operationally.

Future Directions

While this research provides valuable insights, it is limited to a cross-sectional view of retention dynamics. Future studies should consider longitudinal designs to track changes in faculty well-being and retention over time. Additionally, incorporating student-related variables, such as academic outcomes or student satisfaction, could illuminate the broader impacts of faculty

retention on institutional success. Expanding the geographic and institutional scope—especially in semi-urban and rural settings—would also enhance the generalizability of the findings.

In conclusion, work-life sustainability is not just a supportive framework for employees—it is a strategic asset that underpins long-term institutional excellence in the private higher education sector.

References

- Barkhuizen, N., & Rothmann, S. (2008). Occupational stress of academic staff in South African higher education institutions. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 38(2), 321–336.
- Bansal, A., Verma, R., & Sinha, P. (2020). Sustainable HR practices in Indian higher education: A study of employee perception. *Journal of Human Values*, 26(1), 43–55.
- Bland, C. J., Center, B. A., Finstad, D. A., Risbey, K. R., & Staples, J. G. (2006). The impact of institutional climate and other factors on faculty retention. *Journal of Higher Education*, 77(2), 234–256.
- Cohen, E., Taylor, S., & Muller-Camen, M. (2012). HRM's role in corporate social and environmental sustainability. SHRM Foundation Research Paper.
- Cross, S., & Goldenberg, D. (2009). Emotional labor in academia. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 7(3), 185–199.
- Ehnert, I. (2009). *Sustainable human resource management: A conceptual and exploratory analysis from a paradox perspective*. Springer.
- Frone, M. R. (2003). Work-family balance. In J. C. Quick & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of occupational health psychology* (pp. 143–162). APA.
- Gappa, J. M., Austin, A. E., & Trice, A. G. (2007). *Rethinking faculty work: Higher education's strategic imperative*. Jossey-Bass.
- Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. (2011). Work-family balance: A review and extension of the literature. In J. C. Quick & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of occupational health psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 165–183). APA.

- Haar, J. M., Russo, M., Suñe, A., & Ollier-Malaterre, A. (2014). Outcomes of work–life balance on job satisfaction, life satisfaction, and mental health. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 85(3), 361–373.
- Maurya, S. K. (2020). Professor Vipin Jain, Roy Setiawan, Alliyarov Ashraf, Kartikey Koti, K. Niranjana, Nik Alif Amri Nik Hashim, and S. Suman Rajest, “The Conditional Analysis of Principals Bullying Teachers Reasons in The Surroundings of The City”, *Productivity Management*, 25(5), 1195-1214.
- Wang, J., Ramzan, M., Makin, F., Mahmood, C. K., Ramos Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shabbir, M. S. (2023). Does clean energy matter? The dynamic effects of different strategies of renewable energy, carbon emissions, and trade openness on sustainable economic growth.
- Zhengxia, T., Batool, Z., Ali, S., Haseeb, M., Jain, V., Raza, S. M. F., & Chakrabarti, P. (2023). Impact of technology on the relation between disaggregated energy consumption and CO2 emission in populous countries of Asia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(26), 68327-68338.
- Sikandar, H., Kohar, U. H. A., Corzo-Palomo, E. E., Gamero-Huarcaya, V. K., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Shabbir, M. S., & Jain, V. (2024). Mapping the development of open innovation research in business and management field: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(2), 9868-9890.
- Sharma, D. K., Boddu, R. S. K., Bhasin, N. K., Nisha, S. S., Jain, V., & Mohiddin, M. K. (2021, October). Cloud computing in medicine: Current trends and possibilities. In *2021 International Conference on Advancements in Electrical, Electronics, Communication, Computing and Automation (ICAECA)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Verma, C., & Jain, V. Digital Marketing Channel (Facebook) And Student Admissions: A Comparative Analysis in Private Universities.
- Anand, R., Jain, V., Singh, A., Rahal, D., Rastogi, P., Rajkumar, A., & Gupta, A. (2023). Clustering of big data in cloud environments for smart applications. In *Integration of IoT with Cloud Computing for Smart Applications* (pp. 227-247). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Shaikh, A. A., Doss, A. N., Subramanian, M., Jain, V., Naved, M., & Mohiddin, M. K. (2022). Major applications of data mining in medical. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 56, 2300-2304.

- Jain, V., Sharma, M. P., Kumar, A., & Kansal, A. (2020). Digital Banking: A Case Study of India. *Solid State Technology*, 63(6), 19980-19988.
- Verma, C., Vijayalakshmi, P., Chaturvedi, N., Umesh, U., Rai, A., & Ahmad, A. Y. B. (2025, February). Artificial Intelligence in Marketing Management: Enhancing Customer Engagement and Personalization. In *2025 International Conference on Pervasive Computational Technologies (ICPCT)* (pp. 397-401). IEEE.
- Sumathi, M. S., Jain, V., & Zarrarahmed, Z. K. (2023). Using artificial intelligence (ai) and internet of things (iot) for improving network security by hybrid cryptography approach.
- Ehsan, S., Tabasam, A. H., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Ashiq, A., Jain, V., Nazir, M. S., ... & Gohae, H. M. (2023). Does Zero-Leverage phenomenon improve sustainable environmental manufacturing sector: evidence from Pakistani manufacture industry?. *Global Business Review*, 09721509221150876.
- Verma, C., Sharma, R., Kaushik, P., & Jain, V. (2024). The Role of Microfinance Initiatives in Promoting Sustainable Economic Development: Exploring Opportunities, Challenges, and Outcomes.
- Ramos Meza, C. S., Bashir, S., Jain, V., Aziz, S., Raza Shah, S. A., Shabbir, M. S., & Agustin, D. W. I. (2021). The economic consequences of the loan guarantees and firm's performance: a moderate role of corporate social responsibility. *Global Business Review*, 09721509211039674.
- Sharifi, P., Jain, V., Arab Poshtkahi, M., Seyyedi, E., & Aghapour, V. (2021). Banks credit risk prediction with optimized ANN based on improved owl search algorithm. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021(1), 8458501.
- Saxena, R., & Srivastava, S. (2015). HR challenges in private Indian universities. *Global Journal of Business Research*, 9(2), 71–82.
- Sharma, S., & Singh, M. (2018). Gender and work-life stress in Indian higher education. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 27(5), 553–567.
- Tiwari, R., & Saxena, N. (2012). Flexible working and retention of faculty in Indian higher education. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 1(3), 121–128.
- Watts, J., & Robertson, N. (2011). Burnout in university teaching staff: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research*, 53(1), 33–50.

- Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., Stough, C., Dua, J., Hapuarachchi, J., & Boyd, C. (2003). Occupational stress in Australian university staff. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 10(1), 51–63.
- Zhou, Y., & Volkwein, J. F. (2004). Examining the influences on faculty departure intentions. *Research in Higher Education*, 45(2), 139–176.
- Zaugg, R. J., Blum, A., & Thom, N. (2001). Sustainability in human resource management. *European Journal of Industrial Training*, 25(2/3/4), 124–131.