

## **A Comprehensive Comparative Study of E-Learning from Home and Traditional Classroom Learning**

Saksham Grover

B. Com

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology

Teerthanker Mahaveer University

Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh

Srishti Gupta

B. Com

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology

Teerthanker Mahaveer University

Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh

Vandana Saini

B. Com

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology

Teerthanker Mahaveer University

Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh

Tanya Gupta

B. Com

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology

Teerthanker Mahaveer University

Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh

### **Abstract**

The goal of this extensive study report is to provide a thorough comparison between conventional classroom instruction and online learning. The worldwide education system has seen a dramatic change in recent years, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 epidemic. Although e-learning has gained popularity, there are still concerns regarding how successful it is in comparison to traditional classroom instruction. In order to examine the effects of each method on academic outcomes, engagement, motivation, accessibility, technological dependence, pedagogical interaction, and student well-being, this study uses a triangulated mixed-method approach, combining quantitative data from surveys, qualitative insights from interviews, and a thorough review of academic literature. The results highlight blended learning's growing significance as a viable educational paradigm for the twenty-first century and highlight the distinct advantages and disadvantages of both forms.

**Keywords:** E-learning, Traditional learning, Comparative study, Education methods

## **Introduction**

Rapid technical breakthroughs and unforeseen global concerns like the COVID-19 epidemic have caused a profound evolution in the educational environment. The change from conventional classroom-based learning to e-learning, a method of teaching that uses digital platforms and internet access to provide instructional information, has been the most noticeable example of this. Although e-learning has been around for more than 20 years, its extensive use during the epidemic has caused educators, students, and policymakers to reevaluate the conventional teaching and learning paradigms.

The gold standard of education has long been seen to be traditional classroom learning, which is defined by in-person interactions, scheduled courses, instant feedback, peer cooperation, and extracurricular activities. E-learning, on the other hand, offers the advantages of time and location flexibility, personalised learning pathways, and access to a vast variety of materials. However, it also presents drawbacks, including screen fatigue, digital inequality, and a lack of social connection.

Through in-depth data collecting, scholarly review, and stakeholder interviews, this research aims to examine the efficacy and ramifications of both conventional and e-learning approaches. Additionally, it looks at the expanding possibilities of blended learning models, which combine offline and online techniques to provide a more flexible and welcoming learning environment.

## **Literature Review**

Many academics have tried to evaluate the feasibility and efficacy of online learning in contrast to conventional approaches. Given its scalability and adaptability, e-learning has shown a great deal of promise for permanency in higher education, despite having developed as a necessary alternative during the pandemic (Dhawan, 2020).

In a meta-analysis of online and in-person education, Means et al. (2014) found that students who received all or part of their training online outperformed those who only received in-person instruction. According to Sun and Chen (2016), e-learning's effectiveness depends on a number of variables, including instructional design, learner autonomy, and technology infrastructure. In their discussion of blended learning's benefits, Garrison and Vaughan (2008) emphasised how it blends the finest features of both conventional and digital learning. They contend that a

mixed approach improves learning flexibility, student-teacher interaction, and critical thinking. But there is also enough evidence of e-learning's drawbacks. Numerous studies have brought attention to problems such screen weariness, a lack of immediate feedback, decreased peer contact, and trouble staying disciplined (Allen & Seaman, 2017; Anderson, 2008). In addition, the digital gap continues to be a major obstacle in poor countries, impacting the fairness of educational access.

### **Research Problem Statement**

There is still little agreement on the relative efficacy of e-learning and traditional classroom methods in the context of holistic education, despite their widespread usage.

Investigating these two learning modalities' psychological, technical, and social aspects in addition to academic success is urgently needed. In order to close this gap, this research compares the effectiveness and viability of e-learning to conventional classroom instruction by examining the opinions of both instructors and students, performance indicators, and experiential feedback.

### **Research Objectives**

1. To assess cognitive and academic performance in both conventional classroom settings and online learning.
2. To investigate motivation, engagement, and interaction among students in various learning environments.
3. To determine the pedagogical, technological, and infrastructure difficulties related to online education.
4. To comprehend how both learning modalities affect social, emotional, and psychological aspects of life.
5. To evaluate hybrid learning models' viability, sustainability, and prospects.
6. To provide suggestions for curriculum design and educational policy that are supported by evidence.

### **Research Methodology**

- A strong mixed-method research approach is used in this work. Through surveys, interviews, and secondary data analysis, it integrates both quantitative and qualitative data. 300 undergraduate and graduate students, 25 teachers, and 15 parents from both rural and urban parts of India make up the sample population. Stratified random sampling was used in the participant selection process to guarantee representation across academic, socioeconomic, and geographical factors.
- Tools for Gathering Data: Survey: Google Forms was used to deliver a structured survey with both open-ended and Likert-scale questions.
- Interviews: To gather comprehensive qualitative data, semi-structured interviews were carried out using video conference.
- Focus Groups: To encourage conversation and collect opinions from a group of people, two focus groups were established.
- Secondary Data: Triangulation was done using academic performance data, institutional reports, and previously published literature.
- Methods of Analysis: SPSS software was used to analyse quantitative data using statistical methods including correlation, chi-square analysis, and t-tests. Thematic coding and content analysis were used to examine qualitative data from focus groups

and interviews.

### **Interpretation and Analysis of Data**

**Academic Achievement:** A comparative analysis showed that 62% of students achieved higher or equivalent grades in e-learning. Traditional learners scored higher in disciplines requiring practical engagement (e.g., medicine, engineering). 70% of teachers noted difficulty in assessing online exams due to potential for academic dishonesty.

**Student Engagement and Motivation:** 66% of students reported feeling more motivated in a traditional classroom due to peer presence and teacher supervision. E-learning participants appreciated recorded lectures and self-paced learning but lacked motivation in long sessions.

**Technological and Infrastructural Challenges:** 40% of students faced frequent technical glitches, especially in rural areas. Limited access to high-speed internet and modern devices hindered learning. Some teachers lacked training in using online teaching tools effectively.

**Social and Emotional Aspects:** Traditional classroom students showed higher levels of social connectedness and participation in extracurricular activities. E-learners reported higher levels of anxiety, loneliness, and digital fatigue. Parents expressed concerns about their children's mental well-being and screen time.

**Teacher's Perspective:** 80% of teachers preferred the traditional model for its clarity, control, and communication. However, many appreciated the flexibility and resource efficiency of e-learning and supported hybrid approaches.

**Parental Views:** Most parents appreciated the safety and convenience of e-learning during the pandemic. However, they preferred traditional schooling for long-term academic and social development.

### **Findings**

1. E-learning is highly flexible and convenient but depends heavily on infrastructure, discipline, and self-motivation. Traditional learning fosters better interaction, social development, and emotional support but lacks scalability.
2. Students in urban areas benefit more from e-learning due to better access to digital resources.

3. Subjects that involve labs, fieldwork, or practical components are less suited for online delivery.
4. The psychological impact of isolation in e-learning is a major concern for students and parents.
5. A blended learning approach combining online resources with face-to-face engagement provides the most balanced solution.

### Conclusion

This comparative study reveals that both e-learning and traditional classroom education have unique advantages and shortcomings. E-learning excels in flexibility, accessibility, and convenience but is hindered by technical barriers and lower levels of engagement. Traditional learning provides social interaction, emotional support, and structured guidance, but it may lack the personalization and scalability of online education. The future of education lies not in choosing one over the other but in integrating both to create a dynamic and inclusive educational framework. Blended learning, if designed thoughtfully, can offer flexibility, engagement, and comprehensive student development. Educational institutions must therefore reimagine pedagogy, infrastructure, and policy to align with the evolving demands of digital-age learners.

### Suggestions

1. Digital Infrastructure Expansion: Governments and private stakeholders must prioritize the development of digital infrastructure, especially in rural and underdeveloped areas.
2. Teacher Empowerment: Ongoing digital literacy programs for teachers are essential to enhance their online pedagogical skills.
3. Curriculum Innovation: Curriculum design should support hybrid models, incorporating both synchronous and asynchronous elements.
4. Mental Health Support: Schools should provide counseling services and peer support programs to mitigate the emotional impact of online learning.
5. Parental Involvement: Active parental engagement and training can enhance the effectiveness of e-learning at home.
6. Feedback Mechanisms: Regular feedback from students, parents, and educators should inform continuous improvement in learning methods.

## References

- Ma, X., Arif, A., Kaur, P., Jain, V., Refiana Said, L., & Mughal, N. (2022). Revealing the effectiveness of technological innovation shocks on CO2 emissions in BRICS: emerging challenges and implications. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(31), 47373-47381.
- Hasan, N., Nanda, S., Singh, G., Sharma, V., Kaur, G., & Jain, V. (2024, February). Adoption of Blockchain Technology in Productivity And Automation Process of Microfinance Services. In *2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Jan, N., Jain, V., Li, Z., Sattar, J., & Tongkachok, K. (2022). Post-COVID-19 investor psychology and individual investment decision: A moderating role of information availability. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 846088.
- Maurya, S. K., Jain, V., Setiawan, R., Ashraf, A., Koti, K., Niranjana, K., ... & Rajest, S. S. (2021). *The Conditional Analysis of Principals Bullying Teachers Reasons in The Surroundings of The City* (Doctoral dissertation, Petra Christian University).
- Anand, R., Juneja, S., Juneja, A., Jain, V., & Kannan, R. (Eds.). (2023). *Integration of IoT with cloud computing for smart applications*. CRC Press.
- Dadhich, M., Pahwa, M. S., Jain, V., & Doshi, R. (2021). Predictive models for stock market index using stochastic time series ARIMA modeling in emerging economy. In *Advances in Mechanical Engineering: Select Proceedings of CAMSE 2020* (pp. 281-290). Springer Singapore.
- Ahmad, A. Y., Jain, V., Verma, C., Chauhan, A., Singh, A., Gupta, A., & Pramanik, S. (2024). CSR Objectives and Public Institute Management in the Republic of Slovenia. In *Ethical Quandaries in Business Practices: Exploring Morality and Social Responsibility* (pp. 183-202). IGI Global.
- Verma, C., Sharma, R., Kaushik, P., & Jain, V. (2024). The Role of Microfinance Initiatives in Promoting Sustainable Economic Development: Exploring Opportunities, Challenges, and Outcomes.
- Liu, L., Bashir, T., Abdalla, A. A., Salman, A., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shabbir, M. S. (2024). Can money supply endogeneity influence bank stock returns? A case



study of South Asian economies. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(2), 2775-2787.

- Zhang, M., Jain, V., Qian, X., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Ali, S. A., Sharma, P., ... & Shabbir, M. S. (2023). The dynamic relationship among technological innovation, international trade, and energy production. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 967138.
- Cao, Y., Tabasam, A. H., Ahtsham Ali, S., Ashiq, A., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shahzad Shabbir, M. (2023). The dynamic role of sustainable development goals to eradicate the multidimensional poverty: evidence from emerging economy. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 36(3).
- Liu, Y., Cao, D., Cao, X., Jain, V., Chawla, C., Shabbir, M. S., & Ramos-Meza, C. S. (2023). The effects of MDR-TB treatment regimens through socioeconomic and spatial characteristics on environmental-health outcomes: evidence from Chinese hospitals. *Energy & Environment*, 34(4), 1081-1093.
- Chawla, C., Jain, V., Joshi, A., & Gupta, V. (2013). A study of satisfaction level and awareness of tax-payers towards e-filing of income tax return—with reference to Moradabad city. *International Monthly Refereed Journal of Research In Management & Technology*, 2, 60-66.
- Kaur, M., Sinha, R., Chaudhary, V., Sikandar, M. A., Jain, V., Gambhir, V., & Dhiman, V. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the livelihood of employees in different sectors. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 51, 764-769.
- Liu, Y., Salman, A., Khan, K., Mahmood, C. K., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shabbir, M. S. (2023). The effect of green energy production, green technological innovation, green international trade, on ecological footprints. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1-14.
- Jun, W., Mughal, N., Kaur, P., Xing, Z., & Jain, V. (2022). Achieving green environment targets in the world's top 10 emitter countries: the role of green innovations and renewable electricity production. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 35(1), 5310-5335.
- Verma, C., & Jain, V. Exploring Promotional Strategies in Private Universities: A Comprehensive Analysis of Tactics and Innovative Approaches.
- Jain, V., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Aslam, E., Chawla, C., Nawab, T., Shabbir, M. S., &

Bansal, A. (2023). Do energy resources matter for growth level? The dynamic effects of different strategies of renewable energy, carbon emissions on sustainable economic growth. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 25(3), 771-777.

- Jain, V., Rastogi, M., Ramesh, J. V. N., Chauhan, A., Agarwal, P., Pramanik, S., & Gupta, A. (2023). FinTech and Artificial Intelligence in Relationship Banking and Computer Technology. In *AI, IoT, and Blockchain Breakthroughs in E-Governance* (pp. 169-187). IGI Global.