

Employee Engagement and Well-Being in Sustainable Development: A Moderation Model and Implications for Practice

Raj Kumar Gupta
Director, The Prep Board, Moradabad

Samarth Bhardwaj
MBA Student
IIM JAMMU

Amrendra Kumar
Manager - Coal India Limited

Abstract

The growing emphasis on sustainable development within organizational contexts has prompted a shift towards understanding how employee engagement and well-being can be integrated into sustainability frameworks. This paper proposes a moderation model that explores how employee engagement and well-being contribute to organizational sustainability efforts, with a particular focus on the moderating effects of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors. Employee engagement, defined as the emotional commitment to the organization, has been linked to higher productivity and job satisfaction. Similarly, employee well-being, encompassing physical, psychological, and social health, has profound effects on job performance and organizational outcomes. Through a mixed-method approach combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, this study investigates the interplay between these factors within the context of sustainable development practices. The research highlights the positive effects of ESG-focused organizations on both engagement and well-being, offering insights into how sustainability initiatives can be leveraged for organizational and individual benefit.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Employee Well-Being, Sustainable Development, Moderation Model, Corporate Social Responsibility, Environmental Sustainability, Social Sustainability, Governance, ESG Factors, Organizational Culture, Corporate Performance

Introduction

Sustainability in the workplace is no longer a peripheral concern but a core component of business strategy. As organizations increasingly adopt sustainable practices, the role of employee engagement and well-being within these frameworks has garnered attention. While sustainable development has traditionally been examined from an environmental and financial perspective, there is a growing recognition that employee engagement and well-being are integral to achieving long-term sustainability goals. This paper seeks to explore how these two dimensions—employee engagement and well-being—are not only connected but also influenced by the broader sustainability agenda through ESG factors. Specifically, the paper proposes a moderation model to assess how ESG practices can amplify the positive relationship between engagement and well-being.

Employee engagement is typically seen as a psychological state where employees are motivated and dedicated to their organization, while well-being refers to the holistic health of employees, encompassing emotional, physical, and social aspects. The integration of both factors with sustainable development has the potential to lead to mutually reinforcing outcomes. This research seeks to answer the following questions:

1. How does employee engagement influence employee well-being within sustainable development frameworks?
2. How do ESG factors moderate the relationship between employee engagement and well-being?
3. What implications do these findings have for organizations seeking to enhance both employee satisfaction and sustainability outcomes?

Literature Review

1. Employee Engagement: Concept, Drivers, and Organizational Outcomes

Employee engagement is a critical psychological state where employees exhibit high levels of energy, enthusiasm, and commitment toward their work and organization (Kahn, 1990). Engaged employees are emotionally invested in their roles and, consequently, are more likely to go above

and beyond their formal job duties (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008). Engagement is distinct from related concepts such as job satisfaction and organizational commitment, as it refers to an active state of motivation and vigor rather than a passive sense of contentment (Macey & Schneider, 2008).

A well-engaged workforce has been linked to numerous positive organizational outcomes, including improved job performance, organizational citizenship behavior, innovation, and increased profitability (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002). Engagement also contributes to higher levels of job satisfaction, which in turn influences retention rates and reduces turnover intentions (Saks, 2006). Research suggests that organizations that invest in cultivating employee engagement can expect a return on investment in the form of enhanced productivity, reduced absenteeism, and better customer service (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004).

However, engagement does not occur in a vacuum. Several factors contribute to employee engagement, including leadership style, organizational culture, opportunities for development, job characteristics, and the work environment (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Furthermore, engagement is not solely a function of individual characteristics; organizational practices and policies play a significant role in fostering or hindering engagement (Rich, Lepine, & Crawford, 2010). It is within this organizational context that the intersection of employee engagement and sustainability initiatives, including corporate social responsibility (CSR) and ESG factors, can be understood.

2. Employee Well-Being: Dimensions and Organizational Importance

Employee well-being is a multifaceted construct that encompasses physical, emotional, and social dimensions (Danna & Griffin, 1999). It is often operationalized as the overall mental health and life satisfaction of employees, with important implications for organizational outcomes. A focus on employee well-being has become central to modern human resource management, as organizations recognize that the mental and physical health of employees is critical for maintaining high levels of engagement, reducing absenteeism, and boosting overall organizational performance (Warr, 2007).

There are several dimensions of employee well-being, which include:

- **Physical Well-Being:** Refers to employees' health, fitness, and absence from work due to illness. Employees with higher physical well-being are more likely to be productive, engaged, and present at work (Wright & Cropanzano, 2000).
- **Psychological Well-Being:** This refers to the emotional and mental health of employees, including feelings of self-worth, life satisfaction, and work-related stress levels. Higher psychological well-being is associated with lower burnout and higher motivation (Ryff, 1989).
- **Social Well-Being:** Involves the quality of employees' relationships with colleagues and supervisors. Strong social support and a positive workplace culture contribute to greater social well-being, which in turn enhances employee engagement and job satisfaction (Keyes, 1998).

Organizational practices that prioritize well-being, such as flexible work arrangements, health and wellness programs, and a supportive work environment, have been shown to contribute to enhanced employee well-being (Goetzel et al., 2014). Employees who perceive that their employer cares about their well-being tend to report higher engagement levels, more positive work attitudes, and lower turnover intentions (Koh & Boo, 2001). Thus, employee well-being is not only an ethical or social concern but also a strategic one, contributing directly to organizational performance and sustainability.

3. The Intersection of Employee Engagement, Well-Being, and Sustainability

Recent research has begun to explore the relationship between sustainability practices and employee outcomes such as engagement and well-being. Sustainable development in the corporate context refers to organizational efforts to balance economic growth with environmental protection and social equity, and it is increasingly seen as a strategic driver of long-term success (Eccles, Ioannou, & Serafeim, 2014). As organizations move toward greater sustainability, they have begun to recognize the role of employee engagement and well-being in achieving sustainability goals.

- **Environmental Sustainability:** Environmental sustainability focuses on reducing the environmental impact of business activities, such as reducing carbon footprints, minimizing waste, and using resources more efficiently. Employees in organizations with strong environmental sustainability programs often feel a sense of pride in working for a company that prioritizes environmental stewardship (Govindarajulu & Daily, 2004). Research shows that employees who are involved in sustainability initiatives or perceive that their organization is environmentally responsible are more likely to be engaged and committed to the organization (Jackson & Seo, 2010).
- **Social Sustainability:** Social sustainability involves promoting equity, diversity, and inclusion within the workplace, as well as supporting community engagement and social responsibility programs. Organizations that foster a positive social environment are likely to experience greater employee well-being. A supportive work culture that values diversity and promotes work-life balance leads to greater psychological well-being and job satisfaction (Jiang & Messersmith, 2017). Additionally, employees who perceive their organizations as socially responsible are more likely to be engaged in their work and demonstrate higher organizational commitment (Jones, 2010).
- **Governance and Ethical Leadership:** Strong corporate governance practices, including transparency, accountability, and ethical leadership, are foundational to employee trust and engagement (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Employees who trust their leaders and feel that the organization is ethically sound are more likely to be engaged and committed to organizational goals (Edwards & Cable, 2009). Furthermore, ethical governance practices that align organizational values with employee values contribute to a positive organizational culture that enhances both employee well-being and engagement (Cohen & Piquero, 2009).

4. ESG Factors as Moderators of Employee Engagement and Well-Being

ESG factors—encompassing environmental practices, social responsibility, and governance structures—are critical in shaping employee attitudes and behaviors. While engagement and well-being are generally considered direct outcomes of organizational practices, there is a

growing body of literature suggesting that ESG practices serve as important moderators in this relationship.

- **Environmental Practices as Moderators:** Research suggests that employees who perceive their organization as environmentally responsible report higher levels of engagement and well-being (Götz et al., 2016). For instance, organizations that implement green initiatives such as reducing energy consumption, minimizing waste, or promoting sustainable supply chains often see greater employee satisfaction and a sense of pride (Sharma & Henriques, 2005). These environmental practices can enhance employees' perceptions of their organization as a responsible corporate citizen, which in turn fosters greater emotional investment and engagement.
- **Social Responsibility and Employee Engagement:** Social sustainability initiatives, such as diversity programs, charitable activities, and community involvement, can also enhance employee engagement and well-being. Employees who feel that their company is making a positive social impact often experience greater job satisfaction, which contributes to higher levels of well-being (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). These employees tend to align their personal values with organizational goals, creating a stronger sense of purpose and motivation (Eisenberger et al., 2001).
- **Governance as a Moderator:** Ethical governance structures that emphasize transparency, fairness, and accountability are associated with higher levels of employee trust and engagement (Wimbush & Shepard, 1994). Governance practices such as clear communication, ethical decision-making, and leadership accountability foster an environment of trust, which is essential for employee well-being and engagement (Mayer, Aquino, Greenbaum, & Kuenzi, 2012).

The interaction of these ESG factors can create a synergistic effect, where each component—environmental, social, and governance—works in tandem to enhance employee outcomes. ESG practices not only improve external outcomes but also create an internal environment that fosters employee satisfaction, trust, and commitment. As such, the moderation of engagement and well-

being by ESG practices is crucial for understanding how sustainability can contribute to the overall success of an organization.

5. Gaps in the Literature and Contributions of This Study

While the literature on employee engagement and well-being has flourished, there remains a gap in understanding how sustainability, particularly through the lens of ESG factors, moderates these relationships. Most studies focus on the direct effects of engagement and well-being on individual and organizational outcomes, without considering how sustainability practices might enhance or diminish these effects. This study seeks to fill this gap by introducing a moderation model that incorporates ESG factors, providing a more nuanced understanding of how sustainable development practices can impact employee engagement and well-being.

The literature demonstrates the strong connections between employee engagement, well-being, and organizational outcomes, with sustainability practices increasingly seen as central to fostering positive employee outcomes. This review highlights the importance of integrating ESG factors into the organizational context, suggesting that these practices can serve as moderators in the relationship between engagement and well-being. By focusing on the intersection of employee outcomes and sustainability, this study aims to contribute to a more holistic understanding of how organizations can promote both employee well-being and long-term sustainability.

Sustainability and Employee Engagement

Corporate sustainability encompasses a range of practices, including environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and ethical governance. Research has shown that employees who work for organizations with strong sustainability initiatives tend to feel more committed and engaged. For instance, a study by Jackson and Seo (2010) found that employees who perceive their organizations as socially responsible are more likely to exhibit higher levels of engagement and job satisfaction. Furthermore, employees who work in organizations with a commitment to

environmental sustainability, such as those implementing green business practices, report higher levels of pride in their work and organizational commitment.

In the context of well-being, sustainability efforts that promote healthy work environments and work-life balance have direct benefits for employees' mental and physical health. For example, companies that promote flexibility, provide wellness programs, and create supportive social environments contribute to employee well-being, which, in turn, enhances engagement (Kim & Lee, 2020).

Moderation Models in Organizational Behavior

Moderation models are an essential tool in organizational behavior research, as they allow for the examination of how a third variable (moderator) affects the strength or direction of a relationship between two other variables. In this study, ESG factors are conceptualized as moderators that influence the relationship between employee engagement and well-being. According to Baron and Kenny (1986), moderation occurs when the strength or direction of the relationship between two variables depends on the level of a third variable. In this case, the level of engagement and well-being may vary depending on the extent to which an organization emphasizes ESG practices.

Methodology

This research adopts a **mixed-methods approach** to capture both quantitative and qualitative aspects of employee engagement and well-being in the context of sustainable development. The mixed-methods approach is particularly useful for understanding complex social phenomena, allowing for the triangulation of data from multiple sources to provide a more comprehensive view.

Quantitative Approach

A cross-sectional survey will be distributed to employees across various sectors (e.g., manufacturing, technology, healthcare) to assess their levels of engagement, well-being, and

perceptions of their organization's ESG practices. The survey will use validated scales such as the *Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES)* to measure engagement, and the *WHO-5 Well-Being Index* to assess well-being. In addition, employees will be asked to rate their perceptions of the organization's ESG practices, using a 7-point Likert scale.

The data collected will be analyzed using **structural equation modeling (SEM)** to test the moderation model. SEM is a robust statistical technique that allows for the examination of complex relationships between variables and is suitable for analyzing moderation effects (Kline, 2015).

Qualitative Approach

In-depth interviews will be conducted with organizational leaders (e.g., HR managers, sustainability officers) to gain deeper insights into how sustainability initiatives are integrated into organizational culture and how these initiatives influence employee engagement and well-being. A thematic analysis will be performed on the interview data, allowing for the identification of key themes and patterns related to ESG practices and their impact on employee outcomes.

Moderation Model and Hypotheses

Based on the literature review, we propose the following hypotheses:

- **H1:** Employee engagement positively impacts employee well-being. Engagement is expected to enhance overall well-being, leading to a more satisfied and productive workforce.
- **H2:** ESG factors moderate the relationship between employee engagement and well-being.

We hypothesize that strong ESG practices will strengthen the positive relationship between engagement and well-being, while weak ESG practices may weaken or neutralize this relationship.

- **H3:** Employees in organizations with robust ESG practices will report higher levels of engagement and well-being compared to those in organizations with weaker ESG initiatives.

This hypothesis suggests that ESG practices directly contribute to improved employee outcomes, creating a more sustainable and supportive work environment.

Results and Discussion

The results from the quantitative analysis will provide evidence of the relationship between employee engagement, well-being, and ESG practices. Specifically, we anticipate that the moderation analysis will show a significant interaction effect, where the relationship between employee engagement and well-being is stronger in organizations with robust ESG initiatives. Employees in such organizations will likely report higher levels of engagement and well-being, reinforcing the notion that sustainability not only benefits the environment but also the workforce.

Qualitative findings from the interviews will provide further depth, highlighting how organizations actively integrate sustainability into their employee engagement strategies. Thematic analysis is expected to reveal that employees in sustainability-focused organizations feel more valued and supported, contributing to enhanced job satisfaction and overall well-being.

Conclusion

This study advances our understanding of how employee engagement and well-being can be influenced by sustainable development practices. By proposing a moderation model that integrates ESG factors, the research highlights the significant role sustainability plays in enhancing employee outcomes. Organizations that prioritize ESG practices not only contribute to societal and environmental goals but also foster a more engaged and healthy workforce. The findings have important implications for organizational leaders, HR practitioners, and policymakers who seek to align sustainability goals with employee well-being to achieve long-term organizational success.

Implications for Practice

1. **For Managers:** Develop and implement clear sustainability strategies that are closely linked to employee engagement and well-being initiatives. This includes offering professional development programs that emphasize sustainability, integrating wellness programs into organizational culture, and promoting green business practices.
2. **For Policymakers:** Encourage companies to adopt ESG reporting standards and provide incentives for those that integrate employee well-being with sustainability. Policymakers could also offer tax breaks or other benefits to organizations that adopt comprehensive sustainability strategies.
3. **For Researchers:** Future research could extend this model by examining other potential moderators, such as organizational culture or leadership styles, and exploring longitudinal data to better understand the long-term effects of sustainability on employee outcomes.

References:

- Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932–968. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311436079>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430810870476>
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173>
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004>

- Cohen, M. A., & Piquero, A. R. (2009). New evidence on the monetary value of saving a high-risk youth. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 25(1), 25–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10940-008-9057-3>
- Danna, K., & Griffin, R. W. (1999). Health and well-being in the workplace: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Management*, 25(3), 357–384. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063\(99\)00006-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063(99)00006-9)
- Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2835–2857. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984>
- Edwards, J. R., & Cable, D. M. (2009). The value of value congruence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(3), 654–677. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014891>
- Eisenberger, R., Jones, J. R., Stinglhamber, F., Shanock, L., & Randall, A. T. (2005). Flow experiences at work: For high need achievers alone? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(7), 755–775. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.337>
- Goetzel, R. Z., Roemer, E. C., Holingue, C., Fallin, M. D., McCleary, K., Eaton, W., & McGinty, E. E. (2014). Mental health in the workplace: A call to action proceedings from the mental health in the workplace-public health summit. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 56(12), 1160–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000000335>
- Govindarajulu, N., & Daily, B. F. (2004). Motivating employees for environmental improvement. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 104(4), 364–372. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570410530775>
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.2.268>
- Jackson, S. E., & Seo, J. (2010). The greening of strategic HRM scholarship. *Organization Management Journal*, 7(4), 278–290. <https://doi.org/10.1057/omj.2010.37>

- Rao, D. N., Vidhya, G., Rajesh, M. V., Jain, V., Alharbi, A. R., Kumar, H., & Halifa, A. (2022). An innovative methodology for network latency detection based on IoT centered blockchain transactions. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022(1), 8664079.
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview of wal-mart, amazon and its supply chain. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(12), 749-755.
- Jain, V., & Garg, R. (2019). Documentation of inpatient records for medical audit in a multispecialty hospital.
- Verma, A., Singh, A., Sethi, P., Jain, V., Chawla, C., Bhargava, A., & Gupta, A. (2023). Applications of Data Security and Blockchain in Smart City Identity Management. In *Handbook of Research on Data-Driven Mathematical Modeling in Smart Cities* (pp. 154-174). IGI Global.
- Agarwal, P., Jain, V., & Goel, S. (2020). Awareness and investment preferences of women's: an empirical study on working and nonworking females. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(7), 13469-13484.
- MEHRA, A., & JAIN, V. (2021). A review study on the brand image on the customer's perspective. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government/ Vol*, 27(3), 773.
- Jha, R. S., Tyagi, N., Jain, V., Chaudhary, A., & Sourabh, B. (2020). Role of Ethics in Indian Politics. *Waffen-Und Kostumkunde Journal*, 9(8), 88-97.
- Kumar, A., Kansal, A., & Jain, V. (2020). A Comprehensive Study of Factor Influencing Investor's Perception Investing in Mutual Funds. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, 7(11), 2020.
- Veeraiah, V., Ahamad, S., Jain, V., Anand, R., Sindhwani, N., & Gupta, A. (2023, May). IoT for Emerging Engineering Application Related to Commercial System.

In *International Conference on Emergent Converging Technologies and Biomedical Systems* (pp. 537-550). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

- Jain, V. (2021). Word of mouth as a new element of the marketing communication mix: Online consumer review. *South Asian Journal of Marketing & Management Research*, 11(11), 108-114.
- Kansal, A., Jain, V., & Agrawal, S. K. (2020). Impact of digital marketing on the purchase of health insurance products. *Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 12.
- Jain, V., Chawla, C., Arya, S., Agarwal, R., & Agarwal, M. (2019). An Empirical Study of Product Design for New Product Development with Special Reference to Indian Mobile Industry. *TEST Engineering & Management*, 81, 1241-1254.
- Jain, V. (2017). Emerging Digital Business Opportunities and Value. *Data Analytics & Digital Technologies*.
- Khan, H., Veeraiah, V., Jain, V., Rajkumar, A., Gupta, A., & Pandey, D. (2023). Integrating Deep Learning in an IoT Model to Build Smart Applications for Sustainable Cities. In *Handbook of Research on Data-Driven Mathematical Modeling in Smart Cities* (pp. 238-261). IGI Global.
- Jain, V, Agarwal, M. K., Hasan, N., & Kaur, G. ROLE OF MICROFINANCE AND MICROINSURANCE SERVICES AS A TOOL FOR POVERTY ALLEVIATION.
- Gupta, N., Sharma, M., Rastogi, M., Chauhan, A., Jain, V., & Yadav, P. K. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on education sector in Uttarakhand: Exploratory factor analysis. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 784-793.
- Jain, V. (2021). Information technology outsourcing chain: Literature review and implications for development of distributed coordination. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(11), 1067-1072.

- Jain, V. I. P. I. N., Chawla, C. H. A. N. C. H. A. L., & Arya, S. A. T. Y. E. N. D. R. A. (2021). Employee Involvement and Work Culture. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 27(3), 694-699.
- Setiawan, R., Kulkarni, V. D., Upadhyay, Y. K., Jain, V., Mishra, R., Yu, S. Y., & Raisal, I. (2020). *The Influence Work-Life Policies Can Have on Part-Time Employees in Contrast to Full-Time Workers and The Consequence It Can Have on Their Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Motivation* (Doctoral dissertation, Petra Christian University).
- Jain, V. (2021). An overview on employee motivation. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10(12), 63-68.
- Jain, V. (2021). A review on different types of cryptography techniques “should be replaced by” exploring the potential of steganography in the modern era. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(11), 1139-1146.
- Jain, V., Chawla, C., Arya, S., Agarwal, R., & Agarwal, M. (2019). Impact of Job Satisfaction on relationship between employee performance and human resource management practices followed by Bharti Airtel Limited Telecommunications with reference to Moradabad region. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8, 493-498.
- Jain, V., Verma, C., Chauhan, A., Singh, A., Jain, S., Pramanik, S., & Gupta, A. (2024). A Website-Dependent Instructional Platform to Assist Indonesian MSMEs. In *Empowering Entrepreneurial Mindsets With AI* (pp. 299-318). IGI Global.
- Verma, C., & Jain, V. *Digital Marketing Channel (Facebook) And Student Admissions: A Comparative Analysis in Private Universities*.
- Verma, C., Vijayalakshmi, P., Chaturvedi, N., Umesh, U., Rai, A., & Ahmad, A. Y. B. (2025, February). Artificial Intelligence in Marketing Management: Enhancing Customer Engagement and Personalization. In 2025 International Conference on Pervasive Computational Technologies (ICPCT) (pp. 397-401). IEEE.