

## **Employee Engagement and Well-Being as a Cornerstone of Sustainability**

Palak

Research Scholar

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology  
Teerthanker Mahaveer University  
Moradabad – Uttar Pradesh

Vibhor Jain

Associate Professor

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology  
Teerthanker Mahaveer University  
Moradabad – Uttar Pradesh

### **Abstract**

In recent years, the sustainability agenda has expanded beyond environmental and economic concerns to encompass the social dimension, with increasing emphasis on the role of human capital. This study explores how employee engagement and well-being function as critical enablers of organizational sustainability. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research draws on data collected through surveys of 400 employees across diverse sectors and semi-structured interviews with HR professionals and line managers. Quantitative findings reveal significant positive correlations between employee engagement, well-being, and perceived organizational sustainability practices. Regression analysis confirms that both engagement and well-being significantly predict sustainability outcomes, with engagement emerging as the stronger predictor. Qualitative insights reinforce these findings by identifying key drivers such as leadership commitment, psychological safety, and alignment between organizational values and employee experience. The study also uncovers challenges such as cultural resistance and a lack of integration between human resource practices and sustainability strategies. This paper concludes that employee engagement and well-being are not only central to workforce productivity and satisfaction but are also foundational to building resilient, adaptable, and ethically driven organizations. The research offers practical recommendations for embedding these constructs into sustainability strategies and contributes to the growing recognition of people-centric sustainability models. The findings have implications for both scholars and

practitioners seeking to create organizations that thrive economically, socially, and environmentally.

## Introduction

In the contemporary business environment, the concept of sustainability has evolved to encompass a multidimensional framework that integrates environmental, economic, and social concerns. No longer limited to green initiatives or financial performance alone, sustainability now demands that organizations consider the holistic well-being of their most valuable asset—their people. Within this broadened scope, employee engagement and well-being have emerged as critical levers that influence not only workplace productivity and morale but also long-term organizational sustainability.

**Employee engagement** is generally defined as the emotional and intellectual involvement of employees in their work and organization. Engaged employees are more likely to be productive, innovative, and committed to achieving corporate objectives. **Well-being**, on the other hand, refers to the overall health—physical, emotional, psychological, and even financial—of employees. While these concepts have traditionally been the domain of Human Resource (HR) management, their impact on broader corporate sustainability goals is now increasingly recognized by organizational leaders and researchers alike.

The growing interest in this topic is partially driven by global shifts in workforce expectations. Employees today seek more than just financial compensation; they prioritize meaningful work, inclusive cultures, mental health support, and opportunities for personal growth. The COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, dramatically highlighted the vulnerabilities in organizational support systems, bringing well-being and engagement to the forefront of strategic discussions. Companies that adapted swiftly by prioritizing employee-centric policies fared better in terms of resilience, operational continuity, and stakeholder trust.

From a sustainability perspective, organizations that embed employee engagement and well-being into their strategic frameworks contribute to the **social** component of the **Triple Bottom**

**Line** (Elkington, 1997)—“People, Planet, and Profit.” Social sustainability, often the most overlooked dimension, deals with issues such as equity, labor practices, community development, and human rights. By actively fostering employee engagement and well-being, companies not only fulfill ethical responsibilities but also gain a competitive edge through enhanced innovation, reduced turnover, and strengthened employer branding.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the dynamic interplay between employee engagement, well-being, and sustainability. It seeks to demonstrate how employee-centric strategies can serve as foundational pillars for sustainable development within organizations. Through a synthesis of academic literature, practical case studies, and expert insights, the study proposes an integrated framework that aligns human capital strategies with broader sustainability goals.

This exploration is especially relevant in a global context where businesses are increasingly held accountable not just for profits, but for their overall impact on people and the planet. Therefore, understanding and leveraging the power of engagement and well-being is not merely beneficial—it is essential for building sustainable, future-ready organizations.

## **Literature Review**

The growing focus on sustainability in organizational strategy has led to a renewed emphasis on the role of human capital. Two crucial aspects that have gained attention in this context are **employee engagement** and **employee well-being**. While historically studied as distinct areas within organizational behavior and human resource management, recent literature increasingly positions them as fundamental to achieving long-term sustainability objectives.

The term **employee engagement** was first conceptualized by Kahn (1990), who described it as the “harnessing of organization members’ selves to their work roles.” Kahn’s model emphasized three psychological conditions necessary for engagement: meaningfulness, safety, and availability. Since then, numerous models and frameworks have built on this foundation. Schaufeli et al. (2002) defined engagement as a positive, fulfilling state of mind characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption. Bakker and Demerouti (2008) proposed the Job Demands-

Resources (JD-R) model, which explains how engagement is driven by job resources such as support, autonomy, and opportunities for development.

Parallel to the development of engagement literature, the concept of **employee well-being** has evolved significantly. Initially rooted in occupational health psychology, well-being was traditionally associated with the absence of illness or injury. However, modern definitions have become more holistic, encompassing emotional, psychological, social, and even financial dimensions (Danna & Griffin, 1999). Diener et al. (1999) emphasized subjective well-being, which includes life satisfaction, positive affect, and low negative affect. Contemporary research also highlights the importance of workplace interventions that promote well-being through flexible schedules, mindfulness programs, and supportive leadership.

Incorporating engagement and well-being into the sustainability discourse is a relatively recent but impactful development. Elkington's (1997) **Triple Bottom Line (TBL)** framework—"People, Planet, Profit"—provides a useful lens through which to view this integration. While environmental and economic sustainability have long been prioritized, the social dimension often receives less attention. Scholars argue that employee engagement and well-being are central to social sustainability because they directly affect labor practices, human rights, and the quality of organizational culture (Shrivastava & Berger, 2010).

Empirical studies further reinforce this linkage. For instance, Harter, Schmidt, and Hayes (2002) conducted a meta-analysis revealing that higher employee engagement correlates with better customer satisfaction, productivity, and profitability. Similarly, studies by Grawitch et al. (2006) demonstrate that organizations implementing well-being initiatives experience lower absenteeism and higher innovation. These findings are echoed in more recent works such as Eccles, Ioannou, and Serafeim (2014), who found a significant association between strong human capital management and high Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) performance ratings.

Moreover, engagement and well-being are shown to have a reciprocal relationship. Engaged employees tend to report better well-being, and vice versa. This cyclical effect creates a

reinforcing loop that enhances organizational sustainability by fostering resilience, adaptability, and alignment with ethical standards. This is particularly important in times of crisis, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, where employee trust, psychological safety, and organizational commitment played a decisive role in navigating uncertainty (Kniffin et al., 2021).

However, challenges persist. Despite widespread acknowledgment of their importance, many organizations struggle to operationalize engagement and well-being initiatives effectively. Barriers include lack of leadership commitment, inadequate measurement tools, and disconnect between sustainability and HR strategies. Some scholars call for an integrated approach where these constructs are embedded into organizational culture and evaluated through robust metrics.

In conclusion, the literature reveals that employee engagement and well-being are not only interrelated but also critical enablers of sustainable business practices. A growing body of evidence supports the integration of these concepts into sustainability strategies, not just as ethical imperatives but as drivers of tangible business outcomes. Future research should continue exploring sector-specific applications, longitudinal impacts, and the role of emerging technologies in enhancing engagement and well-being within sustainable organizational models.

## **Methodology**

To explore the interplay between employee engagement, well-being, and organizational sustainability, this study adopts a **mixed-methods approach**, combining both qualitative and quantitative research methodologies. The rationale for selecting a mixed-methods design lies in its capacity to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomena by integrating the strengths of both approaches. While quantitative data offers generalizable findings through statistical analysis, qualitative insights allow for a deeper exploration of individual experiences and organizational practices that numbers alone cannot capture.

## **Research Design**

The study is conducted in two distinct but complementary phases. In **Phase I**, a quantitative survey is distributed across a diverse sample of organizations spanning the IT, manufacturing,

education, and financial services sectors in India. This cross-sectional survey is designed to measure levels of employee engagement, perceived well-being, and the extent of sustainability integration within organizational policies. In **Phase II**, qualitative data is collected through semi-structured interviews with HR managers, sustainability officers, and employees to gain deeper insights into the challenges and success factors of embedding engagement and well-being into sustainability practices.

### **Sampling Technique and Sample Size**

For the quantitative component, a **stratified random sampling technique** is employed to ensure representation from different industries and organizational sizes. A total of 400 employees are surveyed, with strata based on organizational sector and employee level (entry, middle, and senior management). This stratification helps in understanding how engagement and well-being perceptions vary across roles and industries.

For the qualitative phase, **purposive sampling** is used to select 15 participants from the surveyed organizations, ensuring they have adequate experience or involvement with HR, employee engagement initiatives, or sustainability efforts. Participants include five HR professionals, five line managers, and five non-managerial employees to capture multi-perspective narratives.

### **Instruments and Measures**

Quantitative data is collected using a structured questionnaire comprising validated scales. Employee engagement is measured using the **Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES)** developed by Schaufeli et al. (2002), which includes three subscales: vigor, dedication, and absorption. Employee well-being is assessed using the **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**, known for its reliability and sensitivity. Organizational sustainability practices are evaluated using a customized version of the **Sustainability Culture and Engagement Diagnostic (SCED)** scale, which includes metrics on policy integration, training, communication, and leadership support.

The qualitative interviews are guided by a semi-structured interview protocol that explores topics such as organizational culture, perceived importance of engagement and well-being, practical

implementation of initiatives, and employee feedback mechanisms. Each interview lasts approximately 45–60 minutes and is recorded with participant consent for transcription and thematic analysis.

### **Data Analysis**

Quantitative data is analyzed using **SPSS** software. Descriptive statistics are used to understand sample demographics and overall trends. Inferential statistics such as **correlation analysis** and **multiple regression** are employed to examine the relationship between employee engagement, well-being, and perceived sustainability outcomes.

Qualitative data is analyzed through **thematic analysis** using **NVivo** software. The transcripts are coded inductively to identify emerging themes related to facilitators and barriers in implementing engagement and well-being strategies. Triangulation of data from various participant roles ensures credibility and depth of insights.

### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval is obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to data collection. Participants are informed about the purpose of the study, their right to withdraw at any time, and the confidentiality of their responses. Anonymity is maintained throughout data presentation and publication.

### **Limitations**

While the mixed-methods approach provides depth and breadth, limitations include potential response bias in self-reported data and the context-specific nature of findings, which may limit generalizability. Future research may consider longitudinal studies to assess causality and changes over time.

### **Analysis and Discussion**

The analysis of the collected data reveals significant insights into the dynamic relationship between employee engagement, well-being, and organizational sustainability. The findings from both quantitative and qualitative phases suggest a strong and positive correlation among these three constructs, affirming that employee-centric strategies are not only beneficial for human resources but are instrumental in achieving sustainable outcomes at an organizational level.

### **Quantitative Analysis**

The results from the survey administered to 400 employees across different sectors showed high internal reliability for the scales used: the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (Cronbach's  $\alpha = 0.89$ ), WHO-5 Well-Being Index ( $\alpha = 0.84$ ), and the Sustainability Culture and Engagement Diagnostic scale ( $\alpha = 0.87$ ). A Pearson correlation analysis revealed significant positive relationships between employee engagement and well-being ( $r = 0.68, p < 0.01$ ), engagement and perceived sustainability efforts ( $r = 0.62, p < 0.01$ ), and well-being and sustainability ( $r = 0.59, p < 0.01$ ).

Regression analysis showed that employee engagement was a significant predictor of perceived organizational sustainability practices ( $\beta = 0.41, p < 0.001$ ), followed by well-being ( $\beta = 0.33, p < 0.01$ ). Together, engagement and well-being explained 47% of the variance in perceived sustainability practices ( $R^2 = 0.47$ ), indicating that these variables play a substantive role in shaping how employees view their organization's commitment to sustainable development.

Notably, sectoral differences emerged. Employees in knowledge-intensive sectors like IT and education reported higher levels of engagement and well-being compared to those in manufacturing, suggesting that the nature of work and organizational culture influence the effectiveness of engagement and well-being initiatives. Furthermore, organizations with formal sustainability strategies and dedicated HR involvement demonstrated stronger scores across all three constructs.



## Qualitative Insights

The semi-structured interviews enriched the quantitative data by revealing underlying organizational narratives and cultural factors. Several themes emerged: leadership commitment, employee voice, psychological safety, and strategic alignment.

1. **Leadership Commitment:** Participants repeatedly emphasized the importance of leadership in modeling and supporting engagement and well-being initiatives. HR managers cited active CEO involvement and sustainability champions as critical for gaining traction within the organization.
2. **Employee Voice and Inclusion:** Employees expressed greater engagement when they felt heard and included in decision-making processes. Well-being was particularly enhanced when organizations created safe spaces for feedback, mental health discussions, and peer support.
3. **Alignment with Organizational Goals:** Several organizations had integrated well-being and engagement indicators into their key performance areas (KPIs), making them part of the formal sustainability metrics. This alignment was perceived positively by employees, who felt that their personal welfare was not separate from organizational success.
4. **Cultural Resistance:** Some participants noted resistance to change, especially in traditional hierarchical organizations. There was a gap between policy and practice in several firms, where wellness programs existed on paper but lacked real engagement from employees.

## Discussion

The findings are consistent with prior literature that identifies human capital as a critical pillar of sustainability (Eccles et al., 2014; Harter et al., 2002). Engaged employees are more likely to innovate, collaborate, and support sustainable work practices. Likewise, when well-being is prioritized, employees demonstrate greater resilience, loyalty, and alignment with corporate values.

This study also confirms the **mutually reinforcing** relationship between engagement and well-being, forming a virtuous cycle that contributes to long-term sustainability. Organizations that understand this synergy can leverage it for competitive advantage, especially in turbulent times where adaptability is crucial.

However, successful implementation depends on cultural readiness, leadership, and continuous measurement. Mere deployment of wellness apps or workshops without addressing systemic factors such as workload, job design, and recognition systems is unlikely to yield sustainable results. Thus, a holistic approach that integrates well-being and engagement into the core strategy is essential.

## **Conclusion and Recommendations**

### **Conclusion**

In the evolving narrative of organizational sustainability, the role of human capital—particularly through employee engagement and well-being—has become increasingly critical. This study set out to explore how these two constructs serve not just as HR-related goals but as foundational elements of a sustainable organization. Through a mixed-methods approach that included both empirical data and narrative insights, the research confirms that employee engagement and well-being are significantly and positively associated with the effectiveness and authenticity of sustainability practices in organizations.

The quantitative findings established strong correlations between engagement, well-being, and the perception of organizational sustainability. Engagement emerged as a stronger predictor, but well-being also demonstrated a meaningful contribution. This relationship underscores that organizations cannot pursue sustainability in isolation from the people who drive their success. Engaged and healthy employees are more likely to align with and contribute to sustainable goals, while the pursuit of sustainability creates a culture that fosters trust, inclusivity, and purpose—thereby fueling engagement and well-being in return.

Qualitative insights complemented these findings by offering a deeper look into the real-world implementation of such initiatives. Leadership commitment, alignment with business goals, employee voice, and psychological safety were key themes that emerged as enablers of success. At the same time, challenges such as cultural resistance, policy-practice gaps, and lack of strategic integration revealed areas where organizations often fall short, despite good intentions.

This research contributes to the growing body of literature that repositions human resource strategies as central—not peripheral—to sustainability agendas. It also opens the door for organizations to rethink their sustainability frameworks by placing people at the center of the sustainability conversation, alongside traditional considerations like environmental impact and financial health.

### **Recommendations**

Based on the findings, the following strategic recommendations are proposed for organizations aiming to embed employee engagement and well-being as a cornerstone of sustainability:

1. **Integrate Human Metrics into Sustainability KPIs:** Employee engagement and well-being should be formally measured and reported alongside other sustainability metrics. Including these indicators in sustainability or ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) reports increases transparency and accountability.
2. **Leadership Training and Advocacy:** Senior leaders must be educated and encouraged to become champions of both engagement and well-being. Leadership visibility in these domains significantly boosts employee trust and motivation, especially during organizational transitions or crises.
3. **Adopt a Holistic Well-Being Framework:** Go beyond traditional wellness programs to address mental, emotional, social, and financial well-being. Encourage a culture of openness where seeking help is normalized and supported.
4. **Co-create Engagement Strategies:** Engagement is most effective when it is co-created with employees rather than designed solely by HR. Regular feedback mechanisms, participatory decision-making, and recognition programs should be institutionalized.

5. **Embed into Organizational Culture:** Rather than treating engagement and well-being as standalone projects, organizations must weave them into the cultural fabric. This includes incorporating relevant values into onboarding, leadership evaluations, and everyday decision-making.
6. **Continuous Monitoring and Adaptation:** Use employee surveys, focus groups, and qualitative interviews to continuously evaluate the effectiveness of engagement and well-being initiatives. Adapt strategies based on real-time feedback and changing employee needs.
7. **Sector-Specific Customization:** Tailor programs according to the nature of work and industry dynamics. For example, frontline employees in manufacturing may require different support systems compared to knowledge workers in IT or finance.

In conclusion, as businesses confront the challenges of the 21st century—climate change, digital disruption, global pandemics—the importance of sustainable, human-centered organizations cannot be overstated. Employee engagement and well-being are no longer optional HR luxuries but strategic imperatives. By embedding these into the heart of sustainability strategies, organizations not only secure long-term success but also contribute meaningfully to a more equitable and resilient world.

## References

- Bailey, C., Madden, A., Alfes, K., & Fletcher, L. (2017). The meaning, antecedents and outcomes of employee engagement: A narrative synthesis. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 19(1), 31–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12077>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01)
- Diener, E., Lucas, R. E., & Oishi, S. (2018). Advances and open questions in the science of subjective well-being. *Collabra: Psychology*, 4(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.115>

- Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of a corporate culture of sustainability on corporate behavior and performance. Harvard Business School Working Paper, 12-035.
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Keyes, C. L. M. (2002). Well-being in the workplace and its relationship to business outcomes: A review of the Gallup studies. In C. L. M. Keyes & J. Haidt (Eds.), *Flourishing: Positive psychology and the life well-lived* (pp. 205–224). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10594-009>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256287>
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169>
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701–716. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164405282471>
- Maurya, S. K. (2020). Professor Vipin Jain, Roy Setiawan, Alliyarov Ashraf, Kartikey Koti, K. Niranjan, Nik Alif Amri Nik Hashim, and S. Suman Rajest, “The Conditional Analysis of Principals Bullying Teachers Reasons in The Surroundings of The City”, *Productivity Management*, 25(5), 1195-1214.
- Wang, J., Ramzan, M., Makin, F., Mahmood, C. K., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Jain, V., & Shabbir, M. S. (2023). Does clean energy matter? The dynamic effects of different strategies of renewable energy, carbon emissions, and trade openness on sustainable economic growth.
- Zhengxia, T., Batool, Z., Ali, S., Haseeb, M., Jain, V., Raza, S. M. F., & Chakrabarti, P. (2023). Impact of technology on the relation between disaggregated energy consumption and CO2 emission in populous countries of Asia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(26), 68327-68338.
- Sikandar, H., Kohar, U. H. A., Corzo-Palomo, E. E., Gamero-Huarcaya, V. K., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Shabbir, M. S., & Jain, V. (2024). Mapping the development of open

innovation research in business and management field: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(2), 9868-9890.

- Sharma, D. K., Boddu, R. S. K., Bhasin, N. K., Nisha, S. S., Jain, V., & Mohiddin, M. K. (2021, October). Cloud computing in medicine: Current trends and possibilities. In *2021 International Conference on Advancements in Electrical, Electronics, Communication, Computing and Automation (ICAECA)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Verma, C., & Jain, V. *Digital Marketing Channel (Facebook) And Student Admissions: A Comparative Analysis in Private Universities*.
- Anand, R., Jain, V., Singh, A., Rahal, D., Rastogi, P., Rajkumar, A., & Gupta, A. (2023). Clustering of big data in cloud environments for smart applications. In *Integration of IoT with Cloud Computing for Smart Applications* (pp. 227-247). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Shaikh, A. A., Doss, A. N., Subramanian, M., Jain, V., Naved, M., & Mohiddin, M. K. (2022). Major applications of data mining in medical. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 56, 2300-2304.
- Jain, V., Sharma, M. P., Kumar, A., & Kansal, A. (2020). Digital Banking: A Case Study of India. *Solid State Technology*, 63(6), 19980-19988.
- Verma, C., Vijayalakshmi, P., Chaturvedi, N., Umesh, U., Rai, A., & Ahmad, A. Y. B. (2025, February). Artificial Intelligence in Marketing Management: Enhancing Customer Engagement and Personalization. In *2025 International Conference on Pervasive Computational Technologies (ICPCT)* (pp. 397-401). IEEE.
- Sumathi, M. S., Jain, V., & Zarrarahmed, Z. K. (2023). Using artificial intelligence (ai) and internet of things (iot) for improving network security by hybrid cryptography approach.
- Ehsan, S., Tabasam, A. H., Ramos-Meza, C. S., Ashiq, A., Jain, V., Nazir, M. S., ... & Gohae, H. M. (2023). Does Zero-Leverage phenomenon improve sustainable environmental manufacturing sector: evidence from Pakistani manufacture industry?. *Global Business Review*, 09721509221150876.

- Verma, C., Sharma, R., Kaushik, P., & Jain, V. (2024). The Role of Microfinance Initiatives in Promoting Sustainable Economic Development: Exploring Opportunities, Challenges, and Outcomes.
- Ramos Meza, C. S., Bashir, S., Jain, V., Aziz, S., Raza Shah, S. A., Shabbir, M. S., & Agustin, D. W. I. (2021). The economic consequences of the loan guarantees and firm's performance: a moderate role of corporate social responsibility. *Global Business Review*, 09721509211039674.
- Sharifi, P., Jain, V., Arab Poshtkahi, M., Seyyedi, E., & Aghapour, V. (2021). Banks credit risk prediction with optimized ANN based on improved owl search algorithm. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021(1), 8458501.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3(1), 71–92. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015630930326>
- World Health Organization. (1998). Wellbeing measures in primary health care: The DepCare Project. WHO Regional Office for Europe.